

CERTIFICATE

*This is to certify that the research work presented in this thesis has
been carried out independently by*

Miss Rujuta Desai

under the guidance of Dr. Vanisha Nambiar

in pursuit of a PhD Degree in Foods and Nutrition

and this is her original work.

**Dr. Vanisha Nambiar
(Research Guide)**

Associate Professor

Department of Foods and Nutrition

Faculty of Family and Community Science

The Maharaja Sayajrao University of Baroda

Prof. Uma Iyer

Head, Department of Foods and Nutrition

Faculty of Family and Community Sciences

The Maharaja Sayajrao University of Baroda

Vadodara - 390002

Acknowledgements

Though only my name appears on the cover of this thesis, a great many people have contributed to its production. I would like to make gracious reminder of their strength and support which has made this intricate journey of 4 year a smooth, enjoyable learning experience.

To start with, I owe deepest gratitude to my guide, Dr. Vanisha S. Nambiar for giving me one of the greatest opportunities of my career. I have been amazingly fortunate to have a mentor like guide who gave me the freedom to explore on my own and at the same time the guidance to recover when my steps faltered. Her insightful comments and constructive criticisms at different stages of my research were thought-provoking and helped me focus my ideas. Madam is a perfect blend of creativity with techno-friendly inclination; which constantly inspired me to ponder upon new avenues.

I am thankful to Prof. Uma Iyer Head, Department of Foods and Nutrition, Prof. Pallavi Mehta former Head of the department and Prof. Uma Joshi, Dean, Faculty of Family and Community Science, for their support.

This thesis is a culmination of the work undertaken by the multi-centric project “MARG” and I extend my deepest gratitude to Dr. Anoop Misra, Director, Diabetes Foundation of India, New Delhi and his team (Dr Priyali Shah and Ms Sugandha Nayyar) for their support and financial assistance.

I am grateful to all the Principals, teachers and students of Bhavan's, DPS-Kalali, Navrachana-Sama, Vidhani, Mira, Sheyas, Kendriya Vidyalaya-EME, Mothers, Bright-CBSE, Vasna and Bright- GSEB, Vasna, for permitting me to conduct the research in their schools and for their cooperative and participative approach towards “MARG”. I would like to make special mention of all student and their parents for enthusiastically joining in and making the tedious job of data collection a memorable and successful process.

I am thankful to Dr. Tushar Toprani (Toprani Advance Lab Systems, Vadodara, for extending their expertise services in collecting blood samples and its systematic analysis and delivery.

I extend my sincere thanks to Smruti Patel, Monali Prajapai, Tosha Shahu, Shailja Patel, Pinal Patel, Alma Baptist, Hema Matel and Roshni Pancholi for their support in different domains of "MARG".

I would also like to acknowledge all my PhD colleagues especially Purvi Karkar, Trushna Bhatt, Aditika Agrawal, Shruti Kantawala, Arti Akolkar, Aparna Asudani, Shweta Patel and Kanchi Baria for their valuable discussions and support.

Special thanks to Apoorva Saptatarshi for her continuous encouragement and timely help. I greatly value our relation and deeply appreciate her belief in me.

I would not have stood steady through all these times without the love and patience of my family; both immediate and extended family.

My parents, sister and grandmother to whom this thesis is dedicated, have been a constant source of love, concern, support and strength all these years to help me face my setbacks. My extended family has aided and encouraged me throughout this endeavor. I warmly appreciate generosity and understanding of my husband, sister-in-law and my parents-in-law.

Words fall less to express how privileged I am for being blessed and fostered by late Shri Shivoajirao Desai (grandfather), Shri Wishwas and Shrimati Shanti Rane (maternal grandparents). My heart-felt gratitude to late Shri Devandra Giri (brother-in-law) for being a strong backbone.

Kudos to my pet dogs!!! Zorro and Hector have been the best stress busters and bunch of joys.

Lastly, I thank the Almighty for providing me this opportunity and his divine energy for successfully clearing this juncture of life. The Spiritual power within me helped me stay sane and fight all the obstacles.

THANK YOU !

Rujuta Desai
June 2014

Index

| CHAPTER No. | TITLE | PAGE No. |
|-------------|--|-----------|
| | Abstract | |
| 1. | Introduction | 1 - 8 |
| 2. | Review Of Literature | 9 - 34 |
| 3. | Phase 1a: Selection of the School and Situational Analysis of Enrolled Students | |
| | Methods and Materials | 35 - 50 |
| | Results and Discussions | 51 - 89 |
| 4. | Phase 1b: School evaluation and ranking based on CDC's Coordinated School Health Approach | |
| | Methods and Materials | 90 - 105 |
| | Results and Discussions | 106 - 127 |
| 5 | Phase 2: Development and execution of School based Socio Ecology Model (SEM) under "MARG" "Medical education for Children/ Adolescents for Realistic Prevention of Obesity and Diabetes and for a Healthy AGing" | |
| | Methods and Materials | 128 - 138 |
| | Results and Discussions | 139 - 181 |
| 6. | Phase 3: Promotion of physical activity among children and its impact on anthropometric, biophysical and biochemical parameters | |
| | Methods and Materials | 182 - 198 |
| | Results and Discussions | 199 - 271 |
| 7. | Summary and Conclusion | 272 - 277 |
| 8. | Recommendations and Future Implications | 278 - 280 |
| 9. | Bibliography and Webliography | 281 - 303 |
| 10. | Annexure | 304 - |

List of Tables

| TABLE No. | TABLE TITLE | PAGE No. |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------|
| -Review of Literature | | |
| 2.1 | Nutrition transition in India | 13 |
| 2.2 | Prevalence of Overweight/Obesity among 14 to 18 year old urban Indian adolescents in 8 cities of India | 18 |
| 2.3 | Relevance of 8 components covered under the CDC's Coordinated School Health Approach | 25 - 26 |
| 2.4 | Role of each system of the socio - ecology model and its application in current study | 30 - 31 |
| Phase 1a: Methods and Results | | |
| 3.1 | Foods categorized in different components | 43 |
| 3.2 | The score table used to rank the dietary components | 43 |
| 3.3 | Categorization of physical activity | 43 |
| 3.4 | Classification of activities based on the intensity as given by CDC | 44 |
| 3.5 | Tools used for situational analysis of selected parameters | 44 |
| 3.6 | Tools and techniques used to measure WC and HC | 48 |
| 3.7 | Tools and techniques used to measure BAZ | 48 |
| 3.8 | Tools and techniques used to measure WHR and WHtR | 49 |
| 3.9 | Details of the selected schools and the number of children enrolled | 53 |
| 3.10 | Distribution of students based on gender, age and type of school (n=6472) | 54 |
| 3.11 | Baseline information on parental history of NCD among the enrolled students (n=6472) | 57 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 3.12 | Frequency of eating out and the assigned score (n=6472) | 62 |
| 3.13 | Consumption frequency of foods made of refined wheat flour and deep fried (component 1) (n=6472) | 62 |
| 3.14 | Consumption frequency of foods made of refined wheat flour (component 2) (n=6472) | 62 |
| 3.15 | Consumption frequency of deep fried food (component 3) (n=6472) | 63 |
| 3.16 | Consumption frequency of food high in sugars (component 4) (n=6472) | 63 |
| 3.17 | Consumption frequency of aerated drinks (component 5) (n=6472) | 64 |
| 3.18 | Consumption frequency of healthy food (component 6) (n=6472) | 64 |
| 3.19 | Comparing the time spent on physical activity between selected variables (n=6472) | 69 |
| 3.20 | School wise nutritional status of children as measured by BAZ based on WHO cutoffs (n=6472) | 72 |
| 3.21 | Anthropometric measurements compared across gender, age and type of school (n=6472) | 72 |
| 3.22 | Nutritional status of children compared as per gender, age group and type of school (n=6472) | 75 |
| 3.23 | Association between parental history of morbidities and nutritional status o children based on BAZ | 77 |
| 3.24 | Association between parental history of morbidities and nutritional status o children based on WC | 78 |
| 3.25 | Parental history of children and nutritional status based on Waist Hip Ratio | 79 |
| 3.26 | Dietary pattern and nutritional status of children based on BAZ | 80 |
| 3.27 | Dietary pattern and nutritional status of children based on WC | 80 |
| 3.28 | Dietary pattern and nutritional status of children based on WHR | 81 |
| 3.29 | Physical activity pattern of the children and nutritional status as per BAZ | 82 |
| 3.30 | Physical activity pattern of the children and nutritional status as per WC | 83 |
| 3.31 | Physical activity pattern of the children and nutritional status as per WHR | 83 |

Phase 1b: Methods and Results

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.1 | Sub-groups, criteria and score under component of health education | 95 |
| 4.2 | Sub-groups, criteria and score under component of physical education | 95 |
| 4.3 | Sub-groups, criteria and score under component of health services | 96 |
| 4.4 | Sub-groups, criteria and score under component of nutrition services | 96 |
| 4.5 | Sub-groups, criteria and score under component of counseling, psychological, and social services | 96 |
| 4.6 | Sub-groups, criteria and score under component of healthy and safe school environment | 97 |
| 4.7 | Sub-groups, criteria and score under component of health promotion for staff | 97 |
| 4.8 | Sub-groups, criteria and score under component of family and community involvement | 97 |
| 4.9 | Consolidated description of school health component evaluation techniques and contact person | 101 |
| 4.10 | Distribution of scores based on the serving size and food group | 103 |
| 4.11 | Colour grading scale | 104 |
| 4.12 | Ingredient based categorization of the menu | 104 |
| 4.13 | School wise score given to health education (component 1) | 108 |
| 4.14 | School wise score given to physical education (component 2) | 108 |
| 4.15 | School wise score given to health services (component 3) | 110 |
| 4.16 | School wise score given to nutrition services (component 4) | 110 |
| 4.17 | School wise score given to counseling, psychological and social services (component 5) | 111 |
| 4.18 | School wise score given to healthy and safe school environment (component 6) | 112 |
| 4.19 | School wise score given to health promotion of staff (Component 7) | 113 |
| 4.20 | School wise score given to family and community involvement (component 8) | 113 |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 4.21 | CFRS Scores given to 87 different canteen menus | 116 - 117 |
| 4.22 | Mean CFRS score given to canteen foods served in all ten schools | 118 |
| 4.23 | Details of the Colour Grading Scale | 118 |
| 4.24 | Combination of the ingredients used and categories of the foods | 119 |
| 4.25 | Summary, limitation and recommendations of phase 1b | 122 - 124 |
| Phase 2: Methods and Results | | |
| 5.1 | Topics covered under the electronic media (power point presentation) for target groups at MICRO system | 144 |
| 5.2 | Frequency of sensitization sessions conducted with students in each selected schools | 145 |
| 5.3 | Details of topics covered in the booklet | 146 |
| 5.4 | Topics covered under the electronic media (power point presentation) for teachers at MESO system | 149 |
| 5.5 | Tentative planner of activities for each school planned by the "peers" | 152 |
| 5.6 | Participatory activities conducted at the MICRO system by the MESO system | 153 |
| 5.7 | Topics covered under the electronic media (power point presentation) for parents at EXO system | 155 |
| 5.8 | Feedback of parents obtained understanding various healthy behaviour (n=4000) | 155 |
| 5.9 | Feedback of parents obtained on feasibility of practicing various healthy behaviour (n=4000) | 156 |
| 5.10 | Few verbatim shared by the parents | 157 |
| 5.11 | Summary of the strategies, goals and outcomes | 163 - 166 |
| 5.12 | Learning's from the sensitization sessions | 170 |
| 5.13 | Glimpse of the menus modified by schools based on the suggestions of the research team | 171 |
| 5.14 | Sports Club activity details | 173 |

Phase 3: Methods and Results

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 6.1 | Schedule under the Active Sports Club | 186 |
| 6.2 | Tools and techniques used to measure the biophysical parameters | 189 |
| 6.3 | Details of equipments used for assessing hematological indices | 190 |
| 6.4 | Cutoff used for hematological indices | 191 |
| 6.5 | Reference range used for the lipid profile | 194 |
| 6.6 | Reference range used for the blood glucose parameters | 196 |
| 6.7 | Infrastructural description for the selected schools | 201 |
| 6.8 | General characteristics of the participants (n=169) | 207 |
| 6.9 | Pre intervention anthropometric parameters compared across gender and age of the participants (n=169) | 209 |
| 6.10 | Pre intervention nutritional status of the participants as per various anthropometric indices (n=169) | 211 |
| 6.11 | Pre intervention biophysical parameters compared across gender and age of the participants (n=169) | 212 |
| 6.12 | Pre intervention complete blood count of the participants compared between gender and age (n=169) | 215 |
| 6.13 | Pre intervention lipid profile of the participants compared across gender and age (n=169) | 219 |
| 6.14 | Pre intervention blood glucose parameters of the participants compared across gender and age (n=169) | 220 |
| 6.15 | Pre intervention association between the nutritional status and other parameters (n=169) | 223 |
| 6.16 | Pre intervention association of waist circumference with other parameters (n=169) | 225 |
| 6.17 | Pre intervention association of waist to height ratio with other parameters (n=169) | 225 |
| 6.18 | Association of total body fat with other parameters (n=169) | 226 |
| 6.19 | Post intervention impact on anthropometric parameters among the participants (n=169) | 229 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 6.20 | Post intervention impact on biophysical parameters of the participants (n=169) | 234 |
| 6.21 | Post intervention impact on blood parameters among the participants (n=169) | 238 |
| 6.22 | Post intervention impact on lipid profile of the participants (n=169) | 244 |
| 6.23 | Post intervention impact on blood glucose parameters of the participants (n=169) | 245 |
| 6.24 | Post intervention significant association of WC with other parameters among the participants | 250 |
| 6.25 | Post intervention significant association of WHtR with other parameters among the participants | 252 |
| 6.26 | Post intervention significant association of TBF with other parameters among the participants | 254 |
| 6.27 | Post intervention significant association of TC HDL ratio with other parameters among the participants | 256 |
| 6.28 | Verbatim on the feedback of the parents, participants and school authorities | 262 |

List of Figures

| FIGURE No. | FIGURE TITLE | PAGE No. |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Introduction | | |
| 1.1 | Components of an effective school system | 5 |
| Review of Literature | | |
| 2.1 | Drivers of nutrition transition and obesogenic environment | 12 |
| 2.2 | The concept of Socio Ecology Model | 29 |
| Phase 1a: Methods and Results | | |
| 3.1 | Experimental design for Phase 1a | 50 |
| 3.2 | Age-wise distribution of students in 4th to 9th standard | 54 |
| 3.3 | Prevalence of different non-communicable diseases among parents of selected children | 56 |
| 3.4 | Percent prevalence of specific morbidities among individual parents compared between boys and girls | 58 |
| 3.5 | Percent prevalence of specific morbidities among individual parents compared between the two age groups | 59 |
| 3.6 | Percent prevalence of specific morbidities among individual parents compared between the two types of schools | 60 |
| 3.7 | Average percent consumption of foods enlisted in each component | 65 |
| 3.8 | Consolidated frequency of consuming foods enlisted in each component | 66 |
| 3.9 | Comparing the frequency of consuming healthy and unhealthy food | 66 |
| 3.10 | Intensity of outdoor physical activities categorized based on CDC's guidelines | 68 |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----|
| 3.11 | Choice of indoor activity | 68 |
| 3.12 | Comparing the intensity of activities and screen time between selected variables | 69 |
| 3.13 | Nutritional status of children using BAZ cut off defined by WHO (n=6472) | 73 |
| 3.14 | Comparing the nutritional status of calculated using BMI with different standards (n=6472) | 73 |
| 3.15 | Nutritional status defined on basis of WC compared between two standards (n=6472) | 74 |
| 3.16 | Figure 3.16: Comparison of nutritional status on basis of WHR and WHtR (n=6472) | 74 |
| Phase 1b: Methods and Results | | |
| 4.1 | Experimental Design for Phase 1b | 105 |
| 4.2 | Ranking of all schools based on the total scores | 114 |
| 4.3 | Type of services available in the school canteens | 115 |
| 4.4 | Foods categorized based on the colour grading scale | 119 |
| 4.5 | Menus categorized as healthy and unhealthy based on the ingredients | 120 |
| Phase 2: Methods and Results | | |
| 5.1 | Five ecological systems defined under the Socio Ecology Model | 131 |
| 5.2 | Three systems in the modified model of SEM | 132 |
| 5.3 | Stages of developing SEM | 132 |
| 5.4 | Topics covered for NHE under different media of SEM | 134 |
| 5.5 | Experimental Design for phase 2 | 138 |
| 5.6 | Realm of SEM for programme MARG | 140 |
| 5.7 | Total sessions of presentations made in each school | 147 |
| 5.8 | Number of booklets distributed to students in each school | 147 |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----|
| 5.9 | Number of teacher's covered during the presentation sessions | 149 |
| 5.10 | Number of peer teacher and student volunteers under MARG | 151 |
| 5.11 | Examples of verbatim by parents | 158 |
| 5.12 | School wise distribution of parents who attended the 3 sensitization sessions | 158 |
| 5.13 | Verbatim of students feedback and discussion | 170 |
| 5.14 | Topics covered in the nutrition education material and liked by the students covered | 171 |
| Phase 3: Methods and Results | | |
| 6.1 | Experimental design for phase 3 | 198 |
| 6.2 | Participants distribution within a group and schedule of the Active Sports Club | 205 |
| 6.3 | Pre intervention biophysical parameters of the participants (n=169) | 213 |
| 6.4 | Pre intervention biophysical parameters compared between boys and girls (n=169) | 213 |
| 6.5 | Pre intervention blood count of the participants (n=169) | 216 |
| 6.6 | Pre intervention lipid profile of the participants (n=169) | 221 |
| 6.7 | Percent distribution of students as per HOMA IR (n=169) | 221 |
| 6.8 | Pre-post shift in the anthropometric parameters of the male participants (n=108) | 230 |
| 6.9 | Pre-post shift in the anthropometric parameters of the female participants (n=61) | 230 |
| 6.10 | Pre-post shift in the anthropometric parameters among the participants of younger age group (n=68) | 231 |
| 6.11 | Pre-post shift in the anthropometric parameters among the participants of older age group (n=101) | 231 |
| 6.12 | Pre-post shift in the biophysical parameters among the male participants (n=108) | 235 |
| 6.13 | Pre-post shift in the biophysical parameters among the female participants (n=61) | 235 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----|
| 6.14 | Pre-post shift in the biophysical parameters among participants of the younger age group (n=68) | 236 |
| 6.15 | Pre-post shift in the biophysical parameters among participants of the older age group (n=101) | 236 |
| 6.16 | Pre-post prevalence of Anemia among the participants (n=169) | 239 |
| 6.17 | Pre-post shift in the PCV values across the age and gender (n=169) | 239 |
| 6.18 | Pre-post shift in the MCV values across the age and gender (n=169) | 240 |
| 6.19 | Pre-post shift in the MCHC values across the age and gender (n=169) | 240 |
| 6.20 | Pre-post shift in selected parameters of lipid profile among the male participants (n=108) | 246 |
| 6.21 | Pre-post shift in selected parameters of lipid profile among the female participants (n=61) | 246 |
| 6.22 | Pre-post shift in selected parameters of lipid profile among the participants of younger age group (n=68) | 247 |
| 6.23 | Pre-post shift in selected parameters of lipid profile among the participants of older age group (n=101) | 247 |
| 6.24a, b and c: | Association of TBF with WC, WHR and WHtR after the intervention | 258 |
| 6.25 | Association of TBF with SBP before and after the intervention | 259 |
| 6.26 | Association of TBF with DBP before and after the intervention | 259 |
| 6.27 | Association of TBF with HDL-C before and after the intervention | 260 |
| 6.28 | Association of TBF with LDL-C before and after the intervention | 260 |
| 6.29 | Association of TBF with TC: HDL ratio before and after the intervention | 261 |
| 6.30 | Association of FBF with CRP before and after the intervention | 261 |

List of Images

| IMAGE No. | PICTURE TITLE | PAGE No. |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| Phase 1a: Methods and Results | | |
| 3.1 - 3.6 | Glimpses of baseline data collection in different schools | 42 |
| 3.7 - 3.10 | Glimpses of anthropometric data collection in different schools | 47 |
| Phase 2: Methods and Results | | |
| 5.1 - 5.13 | Glimpses of the booklet and set of posters developed as print media | 135 - 136 |
| 5.14 - 5.17 | Glimpses of use of electronic and print media at MICRO system | 143 |
| 5.18 - 5.22 | Glimpses of the training sessions given to school teachers at MESO system | 150 |
| 5.23 - 5.28 | Glimpses of various activities conducted at the EXO system | 161 |
| 5.29 - 5.40 | Glimpses of activities under MARG | 167 - 168 |
| 5.41 - 5.44 | Glimpses of felicitation of the "peers" and winners of "MARG" | 175 |
| Phase 3: Methods and Results | | |
| 6.1 - 6.2 | Glimpses of orientation lecture given to parents and students in the FND, FFCSc | 186 |
| 6.3 - 6.5 | Glimpses of the school infrastructure | 202 |
| 6.6 - 6.9 | Glimpses of different sports activities under the ASC | 206 |

List of Annexure

| ANNEX. No. | ANNEXURE TITLE | PAGE No. |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------|
| 1. | Permission from the school authorities for initiating the study | 304 |
| 2. | Informed consent from students for participating in the study | 305 |
| 3. | Structured questionnaire for situational analysis | 306 - 308 |
| 4. | Sport observation checklist for school evaluation as per CDC's CSHA guidelines | 309 |
| 5. | Un-structured questionnaire for key informants interview | 310 |
| 6. | Outline of focused group discussion with parents | 310 |
| 7. | Semi-structured questionnaire for canteen evaluation | 311 - 312 |
| 8. | A glance of different power point presentations delivered to students, parents and teachers | 313 - 316 |
| 9. | Feedback from parents on MARG activities | 317 |
| 10. | A glance of few feedback letters from the schools | 318- 319 |
| 11. | Invitation letter from Navrachana school to deliver a lecture under project LEAAD, as part community extension activity of project MARG | 320 |
| 12. | A copy of felicitation certificate distributed to the peers and winners under MARG in all 10 schools | 321 |
| 13. | Informed consent from students and parents for participating in the "Active Sports Club" intervention | 322 - 323 |
| 14. | Compliance calendar prepared for the parents for recording the child's activities at home during holidays and weekends | 324 |

List of Abbreviations

| Sr. No. | ABBREVIATION | FULL FORM |
|---------|--------------|---|
| 1 | CDC | Centers for Disease Control and Prevention |
| 2 | NHE | Nutrition Health Education |
| 3 | NCD | Non-Communicable Diseases |
| 4 | PAP | Physical Activity Pattern |
| 5 | CQR | Critical Qualitative Research |
| 6 | SEM | Socio Ecology Model |
| 7 | ASC | Active Sports Club |
| 8 | MVPA | Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity |
| 9 | PE | Physical Education |
| 10 | PA | Physical Activity |
| 11 | MEND | Mind Exercise Nutrition Do |
| 12 | KYB | Know Your Body |
| 13 | MHHP | Minnesota Heart Health Program |
| 14 | CATCH | Children and Adolescent Trial for Cardiovascular Health |
| 15. | TAAG | Trial of Activity for Adolescent Girls |
| 16. | SPARK | Sports, Play and Active Recreation for Kids |
| 17. | LEAP | Lifestyle Education for Active Learning |
| 18. | M-SPAN | Middle School Physical Activity and Nutrition |
| 19. | WHO | World Health Organization |
| 20. | Ht. | Height |
| 21. | Wt. | Weight |
| 22. | BMI | Body Mass index |
| 23. | BAZ | BMI for Age |
| 24. | WC | Waist Circumference |

| | | |
|-----|---------|--|
| 25. | HC | Hip Circumference |
| 26. | WHR | Waist Hip Ratio |
| 27. | WHtR | Waist to Height Ratio |
| 28. | MARG | Medical education for Children/ Adolescents for Realistic Prevention of Obesity and Diabetes and for a Healthy AGing |
| 29. | IOTF | International Obesity Task Force |
| 30. | CSHP | Coordinated School Health Program |
| 31. | CSHA | Coordinated School Health Approach |
| 32. | TBF | Total Body Fat |
| 33. | TC | Total Cholesterol |
| 34. | TG | Triglycerides |
| 35. | TBF | Total Body Fat |
| 36. | BP | Blood Pressure |
| 37. | RWF | Refined Wheat Flour |
| 38. | NCD | Non Communicable Diseases |
| 39. | ECOWAS | Economic Community of West African States |
| 40. | T2DM | Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus |
| 41. | CHD | Coronary Heart Disease |
| 42. | IUGR | Intra-Uterine Growth Retardation |
| 43. | CED | Chronic Energy Deficiency |
| 44. | BCC | Behaviour Change Communication |
| 45. | Hs- CRP | High Sensitivity- C- Reactive Protein |
| 46. | HDL-C | High Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol |
| 47. | LDL-C | Low Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol |
| 48. | SBP | Systolic Blood Pressure |
| 49. | DBP | Diastolic Blood Pressure |
| 50. | NFHS | National Family Health Survey |

| | | |
|-----|----------|---|
| 51. | NHANES | National Health and Nutrition Examination |
| 52. | GSEB | Gujarat Secondary Education Board |
| 53. | CBSE | Central Board of Secondary Education |
| 54. | MIG | Moderate Income Group |
| 55. | HIG | High Income Group |
| 56. | LIG | Low Income Group |
| 57. | MHIG | Middle High Income Group |
| 58. | UN | Under Nourished |
| 59. | HBP | High blood Pressure |
| 60. | DBM | Dual Burden of Malnutrition |
| 61. | FFQ | Food Frequency Questionnaire |
| 62. | PAPS | Physical Activity Pattern Score |
| 63. | K.G. | Kindergarten |
| 64. | CFRS | Canteen Food Rating System |
| 65. | NIN | National Institute of Nutrition |
| 66. | ICMR | Indian Council for Medical Research |
| 67. | BIA | Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis |
| 68. | Hb | Hemoglobin |
| 69. | RBC | Red Blood Cells |
| 70. | PCV | Packed Cell Volume |
| 71. | MCV | Mean Corpuscular Volume |
| 72. | MCH | Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin |
| 73. | MCHC | Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concentration |
| 74. | WBC | White Blood Cells |
| 75. | TC:HDL-C | Total Cholesterol HDL Cholesterol Ratio |
| 76. | TG:HDL-C | Triglyceride HDL Cholesterol Ratio |
| 77. | VLDL | Very Low Density Lipoprotein |

| | | |
|-----|---------|--|
| 78. | FSI | Fasting Serum Insulin |
| 79. | FPG | Fasting Plasma Glucose |
| 80. | HOMA-IR | Homeostasis Model Assessment |
| 81. | ECLIA | Electro Chemi Luminescence Immuno Assay |
| 82. | NADP | Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate |
| 83. | NADPH | Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate Hydroxide |
| 84. | PT | Physical Training |
| 85. | CBC | Complete Blood Count |
| 86. | ATP III | Adult Treatment Panel III |
| 87. | CIF | Compromised Immune Function |
| 88. | CNS | Central Nervous System |
| 89. | FAO | Food and Agricultural Organization |
| 90. | VAD | Vitamin A Deficiency |
| 91. | IDD | Iodine Deficiency Disorders |
| 92. | 4-AAP | 4- <u>A</u> minoantipyrine |
| 93. | ESPA | N- <u>E</u> thyl-N-(3- <u>S</u> ulfopropyl)-m- <u>a</u> nisidine |
| 94. | AHA | American Heart Association |
| 95. | ADA | American Diabetes Association |

*REVIEW OF
LITERATURE*

INTRODUCTION

PHASE 1a

PHASE 1b

PHASE 2

PHASE 3

*SUMMARY &
CONCLUSION*

*RECOMMENDATION
& FUTRURE
IMPLICATIONS*

*BIBLIOGRAPHY &
WEBLIOGRAPHY*

ANNEXURE

ABSTRACT