

References

- Agnihotri Gupta, J. (2000). Assisted reproduction: 'From cows to women'. In J. A. Gupta, *New reproductive technologies, women's health and autonomy: Freedom or dependency* (pp. 336-425). New Delhi: Sage Publications India Pvt. Ltd.
- Agnihotri Gupta, J. (2011). Towards transnational feminisms: Some reflections and concerns in relation to the globalisation of reproductive technologies. *European Journal of Women's Studies*, 13(1), 23-38.
- Agnihotri Gupta, J., & Richters, A. (2008). Embodied subjects and fragmented objects: Women's bodies, assisted reproduction technologies and the right to self-determination. *Bioethical Inquiry* (5), 239-249.
- Ahearn, L. M. (2001). Language and agency. *Annual Review of Anthropology* (30), 109-37.
- Ainsworth, S. L. (2014). Bearing children, bearing risks: Feminist leadership for progressive regulation of compensated surrogacy in the United States. *Washington Law Review*, 89, 1077-1123.
- Alsharif, M. J., Labonte, R., & Lu, Z. (2010). Patients beyond borders: A study of medical tourists in four countries. *Global Social Policy*, 10(3), 315-35.
- Angrosino, M. (2007). *Doing ethnographic and observational research*. (U. Flick, Ed.) London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Anleau, S. L. (1990). Reinforcing gender norms: Commercial and altruistic surrogacy. *Acta Sociologica*, 33(1), 63-74.
- Bagshawe, A., & Taylor, A. (2003). ABC of subfertility counselling. *BMJ*, 327, 1038-1040.

- Berend, Z. (2010). Surrogate losses: Understandings of pregnancy loss and assisted reproduction among surrogate mothers. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, 24 (2), 240–262.
- Berk, H. L. (2015). The legalisation of emotion: Managing risk by managing feelings in contracts for surrogate labour. *Law and Society Review*, 49 (1), 143-177.
- Bhardwaj, P. (2013). *A study of commercial surrogacy in Mumbai*. (Unpublished master's thesis). Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Mumbai.
- Billitteri, T. (Ed.), (2015). Manipulating the human genome: Are scientists going too far? *CQ Researcher*, 25(23), 529-552.
- Blyth, E., & Farrand, A. (2005). Reproductive tourism - A price worth paying for reproductive autonomy? *Critical Social Policy*, 25 (1), 91-114.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using a thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3 (2), 77-101.
- Briggs, L. (2010). Reproductive technology: Of labour and markets. *Feminist Studies*, 36 (2), 359-374.
- Brinsden, P. R., Appleton, T. C., Murray, E., Hussein, M., Akagbosu, F., & Marcus, S. F. (2000). Treatment by in vitro fertilisation with surrogacy: Experience of one British centre. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 320 (7239), 924.
- Butler, P. A. (2003). Assisted reproduction in developing countries- Facing up to the issues. (J. Khanna, Ed.) *Progress in Reproductive Health Research* (63), 1-8.
- Centre for Social Research. (2012). *Surrogate motherhood ethical or commercial?* New Delhi: Centre for Social Research. Retrieved from <http://www.csrindia.org/surrogate-motherhood>
- Chakkarath, P. (2005). What can Western psychology learn from indigenous psychologies? Lessons from Hindu psychology. In W. Friedlmeier, P.

- Chakkarath, & B. Schwarz, (Eds.), *Culture and human development: The importance of cross-cultural research to the social sciences*. (pp. 31-51). Hove: Psychology Press.
- Chang, M. (2009). Womb for rent: India's commercial surrogacy. *Harvard International Review*, 11-13.
- Chaudhary, N. (2012). Peripheral lives, central meaning: Women and their place in Indian society. In A. Bastos, K. Uriko, & J. Valsiner (Eds.), *Cultural dynamics of women's lives* (pp. 7-30). Charlotte, North Carolina, USA: Information Age Publishing, Inc.
- Ciccarelli, J. C., & Beckman, L. J. (2005). Navigating rough waters: An overview of psychological aspects of surrogacy. *Journal of Social Issues*, 61(1), 21-43.
- Coeytaux, F., Darnovsky, M., & Fogel, S. B. (2011). Assisted reproduction and choice in the biotech age: Recommendations for a way forward. *Contraception*, 83, 1-4.
- Cohen, G. I. (2010). Medical tourism: The view from ten thousand feet. *Hastings Centre Report*, 40 (2), 11-12.
- Colin, T. (Ed.), (2009, May 15). Reproductive ethics: Should fertility medicine be regulated more tightly? *CQ Researcher*, 19 (19), 449-442.
- Corea, G. (1985). *The mother machine: Reproductive technologies from artificial insemination to artificial wombs*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Creswell, J. W. (2007). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (2nd ed.). California: Sage Publications.
- Damelio, J., & Sorensen, K. (2008). Enhancing autonomy in paid surrogacy. *Bioethics*, 22 (5), 269-277.

Dar, S., Lazer, T., Swanson, S., Silverman, J., Wasser, C., Moskovtsev, S. I., . . .

Librach, C. L. (2015). Assisted reproduction involving gestational surrogacy: An analysis of the medical, psychosocial and legal issues: experience from a large surrogacy programme. *Human Reproduction*, 30 (2), 345–352.

Deomampo, D. (2013). Transnational surrogacy in India: Interrogating power and women's agency. *Frontiers*, 34 (3), 167 - 257.

Deonandan, R., Green, S., & Beinun, A. v. (2012). Ethical concerns for maternal surrogacy and reproductive tourism. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 38, 742–745.

Dermout, S., van de Wiel, H., Heintz, P., Jansen, K., & Ankum, W. (2010). Non-commercial surrogacy: An account of patient management in the first Dutch centre for IVF surrogacy, from 1997 to 2004. *Human Reproduction*, 25 (2), 443–449.

Doshi, V. (2016, January 3). *We pray that this clinic stays open': India's surrogates fear hardship from embryo ban*. Retrieved February 23, 2017, from <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2016/jan/03/india-surrogate-embryo-ban-hardship-gujarat-fertility-clinic>

Dube, L. (2001). Seed and earth: The symbolism of biological reproduction and sexual relations of production. In L. Dube, *Anthropological explorations in gender: intersecting fields* (pp. 119-153). New Delhi: Sage Publications.

Duranti, A. (2004). Agency in language. In A. Duranti, (Ed.), *A companion to linguistic anthropology* (pp. 451-473). MA & Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.

Edelmann, R. J. (2004). Surrogacy: The psychological issues. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, 22 (2), 123-136.

Evans, A., & Nambiar, D. (2013). *Collective action and women's agency: A background paper*. Washington D.C.: The World Bank.

- Fathalla, M. F. (2002). Current challenges in assisted reproduction. In E. Vayena, P. J. Rowe, & P. D. Griffin (Eds.), *Current practices and controversies in assisted reproduction: report of a meeting on "medical, ethical and social aspects of assisted reproduction"* (pp. 3-12). Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Ferraretti, A. P., Pennings, G., Gianaroli, L., Natali, F., & Magli, C. M. (2010). Cross-border reproductive care: A phenomenon expressing the controversial aspects of reproductive technologies. *Reproductive Bio-medicine Online* (20), 261-66.
- Fixmer-Oraiz, N. (2013). Speaking of solidarity: Transnational gestational surrogacy and the rhetorics of reproductive (In)Justice. *Frontiers*, 34 (3), 126-257.
- Forde, K. E. (2016). "Good work for good mothers": Commercial surrogate motherhood, femininity and morality. *Asia in Focus* (Special Issue), 5-13.
- Franklin, S. (2006). Origin stories revisited: IVF as an anthropological project. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry*, 30, 547-555.
- Frie, R. (2008). Introduction: The situated nature of psychological agency. In R. Frie (Ed.), *Psychological agency: Theory, practice, and culture* (pp. 1-31). Cambridge, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Gabry, L. (2012). Procreating without pregnancy: Surrogacy and the need for a comprehensive regulatory scheme. *Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems*, 45, 415-450.
- Gagin, R., Cohen, M., Greenblatt, L., Solomon, H., & Itskovitz-Eldor, J. (2005). Developing the role of the social worker as coordinator of services at the surrogate parenting center. *Social Work in Health Care*, 40 (1), 1-14.
- Ganesh, K. (1999). Patrilineal structure and agency of women: Issues in gendered socialisation. In T. S. Saraswathi (Ed.), *Culture, socialization and human development* (pp. 235-254). New Delhi: Sage Publications India Pvt. Ltd.

Gobo, G. (2008). *Doing ethnography*. London: Sage Publications.

Goslinga-Roy, G. M. (2000). Body boundaries, fiction of the female self: An ethnographic perspective on power, feminism, and the reproductive technologies. *Feminist Studies*, 26 (1), 113-140.

Government of India. (2014). *Report of the expert group to review the methodology for measurement of poverty*. New Delhi: Government of India Planning Commission. Retrieved June 15, 2017, from http://planningcommission.nic.in/reports/genrep/pov_rep0707.pdf

Government of India (2016). The surrogacy (regulation) bill. No. 57 of 2016. Retrieved August 20, 2017 from [http://www.prsindia.org/uploads/media/Surrogacy/Surrogacy%20\(Regulation\)%20Bill,%202016.pdf](http://www.prsindia.org/uploads/media/Surrogacy/Surrogacy%20(Regulation)%20Bill,%202016.pdf)

Government of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. (2015, November 04). MHFW circular No.V.25011/119/2015-HR: Commissioning of surrogacy-instructions regarding. New Delhi, India. Retrieved February 16, 2017, from <http://www.icmr.nic.in/icmrnews/art/DHR%20notification%20on%20Surrogacy.pdf>

Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs. (2012, July 09). MHA circular F.No.25022/74/2011-F.I.: Foreign nationals intending to visit India for commissioning surrogacy. New Delhi, India. Retrieved February 16, 2017, from http://www.icmr.nic.in/icmrnews/art/MHA_circular_July%2009.pdf

Government of India, Ministry of Tourism. (2009-10). *Annual report*. New Delhi: Government of India.

Hague Conference on Private International Law. (2012, March). *A preliminary report on the issues arising from international surrogacy arrangements drawn up by*

- the permanent bureau*. The Hague, The Netherlands. Retrieved 02 21, 2017, from <https://assets.hcch.net/docs/d4ff8ecd-f747-46da-86c3-61074e9b17fe.pdf>
- Hammons, S. A. (2008). Assisted reproductive technologies: Changing conceptions of motherhood? *Affilia: Journal of Women and Social Work*, 23 (3), 270-280.
- Howard, S. (2014). Taming the international commercial surrogacy industry. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 349 (6334), 1-4.
- Indian Council of Medical Research. (2015, October 24). List of enrolled Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) clinics under national registry of ART clinics and banks in India. New Delhi, India. Retrieved from http://www.icmr.nic.in/icmrnews/art/New%20list%20of%20approved%20ART%20Clinics_24.10.2015.pdf
- Jadva, V., Imrie, S., & Golombok, S. (2015). Surrogate mothers 10 years on: A longitudinal study of psychological well-being and relationships with the child and commissioning parents. *Human Reproduction*, 30 (2), 373–379.
- Jadva, V., Murray, C., Lycett, E., & Fiona, M. (2003). Surrogacy: The experiences of surrogate mothers. *Human Reproduction*, 18 (10), 2196-2204.
- Jaiswal, S. (2012). Commercial surrogacy in India: An ethical assessment of existing legal scenario from the perspective of women's autonomy and reproductive rights. *Gender, Technology and Development*, 16 (1), 1-28.
- Kala, M., & Rao, N. (2016, November 22). *Issues for consideration: The surrogacy (regulation) bill, 2016*. Retrieved February 22, 2017, from <http://www.prsindia.org/uploads/media/Surrogacy/Issues%20for%20Consideration-%20Surrogacy%20Bill.pdf>
- Kamel, R. M. (2013). Assisted reproductive technology after the birth of Louise Brown. *Journal of Reproduction and Infertility*, 14 (3), 96-109.

- Kessler, B. (2009). Recruiting wombs: Surrogates as the new security moms. *Women's Studies Quarterly*, 37(1/2), 167-182.
- Klein, R. (2008). From test-tube women to bodies without women. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 31, 157-75.
- Kristen, L., & D'Enbeau, S. (2013). Moving beyond themes: Reimagining the qualitative analysis curriculum. *Qualitative Communication Research*, 2 (2), 213-227.
- Law Commission of India. (2009). *Need for legislation to regulate Assisted Reproductive Technology clinics as well as rights and obligations of parties to a surrogacy*. New Delhi: Government of India.
- LeCompte, M. D., & Schensul, J. J. (2012). Creating preliminary results: Putting parts together. In M. D. LeCompte, & J. J. Schensul (Eds.), *Ethnographer's toolkit: Analysis and interpretation of ethnographic data: A mixed methods approach* (pp. 245-264). Blue Ridge Summit, US: Altamira Press.
- Levine, H. B. (2003). Gestational surrogacy: Nature and culture in kinship. *Ethnology*, 42(3), 173-185.
- Majumdar, A. (2014). Nurturing an alien pregnancy: Surrogate mothers, intended parents and disembodied relationships. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 21 (2), 199-224.
- Malhotra, N., Shah, D., Pai, R., Pai, H. D., & Bankar, M. (2013). Assisted reproductive technology in India: A three-year retrospective data analysis. *Journal of Human Reproductive Sciences*, 6 (4), 235-240.
- Markens, S. (2011). Interrogating narratives about the global surrogacy market. *The Scholar and Feminist Online*, 9 (1-2) 1-4.

- Mascolo, M., Misra, G., & Rapisardi, C. (2004). Individual and relational conceptions of self in India and the United States. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development* (104), 9-26.
- Maxwell, J. A. (1996). *Qualitative research design: An interactive approach*. (Vol. 41). CA: Sage Publications.
- Menon, U. (2004). Neither victim nor rebel: Feminism and the morality of gender and family life in a Hindu temple town. In R. A. Shweder, M. Minow, & H. R. Markus, (Eds.), *Engaging cultural differences. The multicultural challenge in liberal democracies* (pp. 288-308). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Menon, U. (2011). The three selves of adulthood: Cultural conceptions of self among Oriya Hindu women. *Psychological Studies*, 56 (1), 23–35.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, M. A. (1994). Focusing and bounding the collection of data. In M. B. Miles, & M. A. Huberman, *Qualitative analysis. An expanded source book*. (pp. 16-38). Thousand Oaks, California, U.S.: Sage Publications.
- Miller, J. G. (1997). Theoretical issues in cultural psychology and social constructionism. In J. W. Berry, Y. Poortinga, & J. Pandey (Eds.), *Handbook of cross-cultural psychology. Theoretical and methodological perspectives* (Vol. 1). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Miller, J. G. (2005). Essential role of culture in developmental psychology. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development* (109), 33-41.
- Mishra, A. K., Akoijom, B. A., & Misra, G. (2009). Social psychological perspectives on self and identity. In G. Misra (Ed.), *Psychology in India: Social and organisational processes* (Vol. II, pp. 53-103). New Delhi: Indian Council of Social Science Research.

- Mohanty, C. T. (1988). Under western eyes: Feminist scholarship and colonial discourses. *Feminist Review*, 30, 61-88.
- Mohanty, C. T. (2002). "Under Western eyes" revisited: Feminist solidarity through anticapitalist struggles. *Signs*, 28 (2), 499-553.
- Mulay, S., & Gibson, E. (2006). Marketing of assisted human reproduction and the Indian state. *Development*, 49 (4), 84-93.
- Palattiyil, G., Blyth, E., Sidhva, D., & Balakrishnan, G. (2010). Globalisation and cross-border reproductive services: Ethical implications for surrogacy in India for social work. *International Social Work* 53 (5), 686-700.
- Pande, A. (2009a). "It may be her eggs, but it's my blood": Surrogates and everyday forms of kinship in India. *Qualitative Sociology*, 32, 379-397.
- Pande, A. (2010a). Commercial surrogacy in India: Manufacturing a perfect mother-worker. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 35 (4), 969-992.
- Pande, A. (2010b). 'At least I am not sleeping with anyone': Resisting the stigma of commercial surrogacy in India. *Feminist Studies*, 36 (2), 292-312.
- Pande, A. (2015). Blood, sweat and dummy tummies: Kin labour and transnational surrogacy in India. *Anthropologica*, 57 (1), 53-62.
- Pashmi, M., Tabatabaie, S. S., & Ahmadi, S. A. (2010). Evaluating the experiences of surrogate and intended mothers in terms of surrogacy in Isfahan. *Iranian Journal of Reproductive Medicine*, 8 (1), 33-40.
- Qadeer, I. (2010c). *New reproactive technologies and health care in neo-liberal India: Essays*. New Delhi: Centre for Women's Development Studies. Retrieved from <http://www.cwds.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/NewReproductiveMonograph.pdf>

- Qadeer, I. (2010a). The ART of marketing babies. *Indian Journal of Medical Ethics*, 7 (4), 209 - 215.
- Qadeer, I. (2010b). New reproductive technologies and India's transitional health system. In S. Srinivasan (Ed.), *Making babies: Birth markets and assisted reproductive technologies in India* (pp. 1-21). New Delhi: Zubaan.
- Qadeer, I., & John, M. (2009). The business and ethics of surrogacy. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 10-12.
- Ragone, H. (1996). Chasing the blood tie: Surrogate mothers, adoptive mothers and fathers. *American Ethnologist*, 23 (2), 352-365.
- Ramskold, L., & Posner, M. P. (2013). Commercial surrogacy: how provisions of monetary remuneration and powers of international law can prevent exploitation of gestational surrogates. *Journal of Medical Ethics* (39), 397–402.
- Raval, V. (2009). Negotiating conflict between personal desires and others' expectations in lives of Gujarati women. *Ethos*, 37 (4), 489-511.
- Richards, L. (2009). *Handling qualitative data a practical guide*. London: Sage Publications Limited.
- Richards, L., & Morse, J. (2013). *Readme first for a user's guide to qualitative methods* (3rd ed.). USA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Riggs, D. W., Due, C., & Power, J. (2015). Gay men's experiences of surrogacy in India. *Journal of Family Planning and Reproductive Health Care*, 41, 48-53.
- Roland, A. (1988). The familial self, the individualized self, and the spiritual self. In A. Roland, *In search of self in India and Japan: Toward a cross-cultural psychology* (pp. 3-13). New Jersey: Princeton.
- Roland, A. (2001). Another voice and position: Psychoanalysis across civilizations. *Culture Psychology*, 7, 311-321.

- Sama. (2006). *ARTs and women: Assistance in reproduction or subjugation?* New Delhi: Sama- Resource Group for Women and Health.
- Sama. (2012). *Birthing a market: A study on commercial surrogacy*. New Delhi: Sama–Resource Group for Women and Health.
- Saravanan, S. (2010). Transnational surrogacy and objectification of gestational mothers. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 45 (16), 26-29.
- Sarojini, N. (2014). Unravelling fertility industry: ARTs in the Indian context. In N. Sarojini, & V. Marwah (Eds.), *Reconfiguring reproduction: Feminist health perspectives on Assisted Reproductive Technologies*. New Delhi: Zubaan Publishers Private Limited.
- Sarojini, N. B. (2010). Women as wombs. *Infochange Agenda* (20), 17-22.
- Sarojini, N., & Das, D. (2010). ARTs: voices from progressive movements. In S. Srinivasan (Ed.), *Making babies: Birth markets and assisted reproductive technologies in India* (pp. 22-43). New Delhi: Zubaan.
- Saxena, K. B. (2011). Trade in health services implications for people's health. *Social Change*, 41 (183), 183-213.
- Schenker, J. G., & Shushan, A. (1996). Ethical and legal aspects of assisted reproduction practice in India. *Human Reproduction*, 11 (4), 908-11.
- Sengupta, A. (2010a). Technology, markets and the commoditisation of life. In Sama - Resource Group for Women and Health (Ed.), *International consultation on commercial, economic and ethical aspects of assisted reproductive technologies* (pp. 8-10). New Delhi: Sama - Resource Group for Women and Health.
- Sengupta, A. (2010b). The great stem cell debate. *Infochange Agenda* (20), 34-36.
- Shah, C. (2010). Regulate technology, not lives. *Agenda* (20), 12-16.

- Shapiro, J. (2014). For a feminist considering surrogacy, is compensation really the key question? *Washington Law Review*, 89, 1345-1373.
- Shweder, R. A., Goodnow, J. J., Hatano, G., LeVine, R. A., Markus, H. R., & Miller, P. J. (2006). The cultural psychology of development: One mind, many mentalities. In R. M. Lerner, W. Damon, & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), *Handbook of child psychology* (6th ed., Vol. 1: Theoretical models of human development, (pp. 716-792). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Sinha, D., & Tripathi, R. C. (1994). Individualism in a collectivist culture: A case of coexistence of opposites. In U. Kim, H. C. Triandis, Ç. Kâğıtçıbaşı, S.-C. Choi, & G. Yoon (Eds.), *Cross-cultural research and methodology series, Vol. 18. Individualism and collectivism: Theory, method, and applications* (pp. 123-136). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Spar, D. L. (2005, May). For love and money: The political economy of commercial surrogacy. *Review of International Political Economy*, 12 (2), 287-309.
- Spar, D. L. (2009). Building a better baby business. *Minnesota Journal of Law Science & Technology*, 10 (1), 41-69.
- Srinivasan, S. (2010). Assisted reproductive technologies: Needs, rights and choices. In S. Srinivasan (Ed.), *Making babies: Birth markets and assisted reproductive technologies in India* (pp. x-xli). New Delhi: Zubaan.
- Sugarman, J. (2008). Understanding persons as relational agents: The philosophy of John Macmurray and its implications. In R. Frie, *Psychological agency: Theory, practice, and culture* (pp. 73-91). Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Teman, E. (2001). Technological fragmentation and women's empowerment: Surrogate motherhood in Israel. *Women's Studies Quarterly*, 29 (3/4), 11-34.

- Teman, E. (2008). The social construction of surrogacy research: An anthropological critique of the psychological scholarship on surrogate motherhood. *Social Science and Medicine*, 67, 1104–1112.
- Teman, E. (2009). Embodying surrogate motherhood: Pregnancy as a dyadic body-project. *Body and Society*, 15 (3), 47-69.
- The Indian Express. (2016, April 9). Egg donor's death: Petition dismissed, no court relief for doctors. Mumbai, Maharashtra, India. Retrieved February 18, 2017, from <http://indianexpress.com/article/cities/mumbai/egg-donors-death-petition-dismissed-no-court-relief-for-doctors/>
- The World Bank. (2012). *World development report: Gender equality and development*. Washington DC: The World Bank.
- The World Bank. (2015, September 30). *FAQs: Global poverty line update*. Retrieved June 16, 2017, from The World Bank: <http://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/poverty/brief/global-poverty-line-faq>
- Tieu, M. M. (2009). Altruistic surrogacy: The necessary objectification of surrogate mothers. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 35, 171-75.
- Times of India. (2012, May 17). Surrogate mother dies of complications. Ahmedabad, Gujrat, India. Retrieved February 18, 2017, from <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/ahmedabad/Surrogate-mother-dies-of-complications/articleshow/13181592.cms>
- Times of India. (2015a, October 16). Anand surrogacy creates a milestone with the 1,001st baby. Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India. Retrieved from <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/ahmedabad/Anand-surrogacy-creates-milestone-with-1001st-baby/articleshow/49410281.cms>

Times of India. (2015b, October 30). *Surrogate mothers stage protest in Anand*.

Retrieved February 23, 2017, from <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com>:

<http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/ahmedabad/Surrogate-mothers-stage-protest-in-Anand/articleshow/49589988.cms>

Tobin, J. (2014). To prohibit or permit: What is the (human) rights response to the practice of international commercial surrogacy? *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 63, 317-352.

Unnithan, M. (2010). Infertility and assisted reproductive technologies in a globalising India: Ethics, medicalisation and agency. *Asian Bioethics Review March*, 2 (1), 3-18.

van den Akker, O. B. (1999). Organizational selection and assessment of women entering a surrogacy agreement in the UK. *Human reproduction*, 14 (1), 262-66.

van den Akker, O. B. (2003). Genetic and gestational surrogate mothers' experience of surrogacy. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, 21(2), 145-161.

van den Akker, O. B. (2007). Psychological trait and state characteristics, social support and attitudes to the surrogate pregnancy and baby. *Human Reproduction*, 22 (8), 2287-2295.

Vora, K. (2009). Indian transnational surrogacy and the commodification of vital energy. *Subjectivity* (28), 266-278.

Vora, K. (2010). Medicines, markets and the pregnant body: Commercial surrogacy and reproductive labour in a transnational frame. *The Scholar and Feminist Online*, 9 (1, 2).

We.News. (2014, June 16). Donor deaths in india highlight surrogacy perils. New Delhi, New Delhi, India. Retrieved February 18, 2017, from

<http://womensenews.org/2014/06/donor-deaths-in-india-highlight-surrogacy-perils>

WebMD. (2016, November 02). *Multifetal pregnancy reduction - Topic overview*.

Retrieved June 23, 2017, from www.webmd.com:

<http://www.webmd.com/infertility-and-reproduction/tc/multifetal-pregnancy-reduction-topic-overview>

Widge, A. (2002). Current practices and controversies in assisted reproduction in India. In E. Vayena, P. J. Rowe, & D. P. Griffin (Eds.), *Current practices and controversies in assisted reproduction* (pp. 60-74). Geneva: World Health Organisation.

Wilkinson, S. (2003). The exploitation argument against commercial surrogacy. *Bioethics*, 17(2), 169-187.

World Trade Organization. (2001). *GATS - Fact and fiction*. Geneva: WTO.

Retrieved from

http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/serv_e/gatsfacts1004_e.pdf

Foot Note

¹<https://data.gov.in/catalog/issuance-visa-various-foreign-nationals-against-various-categories-visas> last accessed on 14.12.2016.