

List of Figures

v

Figure No.	Details	Page No
2.1	Observer Schematics	12
2.2	Adaptive Observer schematic	14
2.3	MATLAB Desktop	36
2 4	Observer-Estimator Output	39
2.5	Block Diagram Representation of Dynamic System	40
2 6	Facilities : SIMULINK	43
2.7	Mechanization: Optimal observer	44
2.8	H^8 problem formulation.	46
2.8(a)	Flow chart of execution of H^8 controller.	47
2.9	System in augmented form with H^8 Controller	47
2.10	Block diagram of H^8 Controller	47
2.11	Implementation of system in augmented space.	49
2 12	Implementation of H^8 controller.	49
2.13	SIMULINK MODEL H^8 Controller	54
2.14	Estimated Output (y-hat) of H^8 Controller	54
3.1	The Architecture of Fuzzy Control.	59
3 2	Simple model of an Artificial Neuron	61
3 3	Multi-layer Network.	62
3.4(A)	A schematic diagram of Main features: MATLAB	68
3.4	MATLAB command window	69
3.5	SIMULINK library Borwser	70
3.6	Constant volts/hertz. Speed Control with slip regulation	74
3.7	Block Diagram representation of the system	75
3.8	Block Diagram with $k_n = 1$ v/rad/sec	77
3.9	Combined Block Diagram; Plant, with PID and with FLC	78
3.10	Feedback Gain (FBG) = 0.01	84
3.11	FLC = 1.4, PID = 1.1 FBG = 0.1	84
3.12	FLC = 1.3, PID = 1.1 FBG = 0.1	84
3.13	FFG = 1.1, FBG = 0 001	84
3.14	SIMULINK setup for Lookup Table based FCL	85

List of Figures

vi

Figure No.	Details	Page No
3 15	Step response for Lookup Table FLC	85
3.16	Controller Surface view for Lookup Table FLC	85
3 17	ANFIS Training	88
3.18	Output Response of NFC for input 1 & 2	88
3.19	Experimental Set-up	89
3 20(a)	Membership Function Editor	91
3.20(b)	Membership Function Editor	92
3.21	Rule Base Editor for Creation of a Rule Base	93
3.22	Combined SIMULINK set up including dead-zone for Plant, Plant with PID and Plant with FLC	94
3 23 (i), (ii).(iii) and (iv)	Simulation Response	95
3 24	FLC Based Compensator	99
3.25	Single Layer FLC	103
3.26	Two Layer FLC	103
3 27	Output Red - with FL Pre compensation; Blue - without FL compensation; Green – with PID	104
3 28	Response of two layer FLC with Backlash	104
5.1	Gain Mismatch	138
5.2	Dead-zone	138
5.3	Backlash	139
5.4	Saturation Fault	139
5 5	Plant used in the ACC benchmark.	140
5.6(a)	Plant P with Series Compensator in a negative feedback loop.	141
5.6(b)	Fuzzy Controller with State Estimator	147
5.7	Input and output membership function of the Spring Observer.	149
5 8	PI Observer block.	153
5.9	Observer-based regulator block	153
5.10	Response to a step input disturbance, $d_{in} = 0.2$, Adaptive Observer Benchmark plant: (a). Integral offset, v , from the PI (b) the cumulative error estimating the hidden state x_2 for P and PI observer.	154

List of Figures

vii

Figure No.	Details	Page No.
5.11	PI Adaptive Observer.	155
5.12	Response of <i>open-loop</i> P and PI adaptive observer to a step input disturbance, $d_{in} = 0.2$,	156
5.13(a)	Response of <i>closed-loop</i> P and PI adaptive observer to a step input disturbance, $d_{in} = 0.2$	156
5.13(b)	Estimates of a and b parameters from the closed-loop PI (solid line) and the P (dashed line) Adaptive Observer (triangular Disturbance	157
5.14	The performance of the LQR (dashed line), the P observer-based regulator (gray dashed line) and the PI observer-based regulator in the presence of four common actuator faults is compared in the first two columns (a) plant output (b) Cumulative output error. Column (c) Actuator disturbance	158
5.15	The performance of the LQR (dashed line), the P <i>adaptive</i> observer-based regulator (gray dashed line) with/without common actuator faults is compared in the first two columns, (a) Plant output (b) Cumulative output error (c) Actuator disturbance (gray line) and its estimate by the integral action (black line).	159
(a)	The effects of process noise and integral fading on the rejection of a unit impulse to m^2 for a perturbed plant with spring constant $k = 0.8$. (a) output for a PI Kalman Filter-based controller over a range of p (b) output of the correspond PFI Kalman Filter-based controller ($KI = -20$) for a range of KF. (c) and (d) give the respective error in estimating the state x_I .	160
5.17	A comparison of the P, PFI Kalman Filter-based regulators and a LQR with full state feedback for a perturbed plant with spring constant $k = 0.8$ (a) Output y , (b) Regulator output u , (c) Respective estimating error, for state x_I and (d) the estimate $\hat{B}Iv$ of the perturbation term \hat{x} from the PFI Kalman Filter.	161
5.18	Peak regulator output versus plant spring constant for a P Kalman Filter-based controller and for a PFI Kalman Filter-based regulator.	162

List of Figures

viii

Figure No.	Details	Page No.
5 19	Plant used for Robust Control Benchmark.	162
5 20	Robust Control Benchmark system with series compensation.	163
5 21	Comparison of the two tracking behaviors using only output feedback,	165
(a)	Performance comparison of two full state feedback controllers: the fuzzy controller (black and the LQR (gray) and Fuzzy Controller (black) after unit impulse disturbance to m_2 for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. (a) Plant output y (b) Spring length L (c) Compensator output u (d) Cumulative compensator output $\int u$ (for $k = 0.5$ to 4.5 in steps of 0.5)	165
5.22	Performance comparison of fuzzy controller using state estimates from a PFI Kalman filter (black lines) and Comp1, a 5th order H2 compensator from Marrison and Stengel (gray lines) (a) Output response to a unit impulse disturbance to m_2 for $k = 0.6$ to $k = 2.0$ in steps of 0.2 (b) for $m_1 = 0.6$ to $m_1 = 2.0$ in steps of 0.2 , and (c) for $m_2 = 0.8$ to $m_2 = 2.0$ in steps of 0.2 (d) Tracking of a unit step command for $k = 0.6$ to $k = 2.0$ in steps of 0.2 .	166
5.23	Responses to an impulse at m_2 for the nominal plant ($k = 1.0$) for Fuzzy controllers QRC A and QRC B and the linear controllers Comp1 and Comp3. (a) The fuzzy compensators have a lower overshoot in o/p (b) The fuzzy controllers dampen vibrations faster.	168
6.1	Inverted robot arm	181
6.2	Modes of operation: System block	181
6.3	SIMULINK model for Inverted Robot Arm	182
6.4	SIMULINK setup for Manual Signal Generator	183
6.5	Data set generation. Learning mode	183
6.6	Classical SIMULINK setup	185
6 7	Response after running Simulation	185
6 8	Robot arm animation	186

List of Figures

ix

No.		No.
6.9	Response of Signal Generator	186
8 1	Phasor diagram of a 3-phase induction motor	196
8 2	Equivalent two phase machine	203
8 3	Stationary a-b-c to ds-q _s axes transformation	204
8.4	Stationary frame d ^s -q ^s to synchronously rotating frame d ^e -q ^e transformation	204
8 5	Separately excited dc motor, vector-controlled induction motor	208
8 6	Vector control with Machine de-qe model	209
8.7	Phasor diagram of indirect vector control	210
8.8	Synchronous Current Control	212
8 9(a)	Dynamic d ^e -q ^e equivalent circuit of machine q ^e -axis circuit	213
8.9(b)	Dynamic d ^e -q ^e equivalent circuit of machine d ^e -axis circuit	213
8 10	Complex synchronous frame dq _s equivalent circuit.	214
8 11	Flux and current vectors in d ^e -q ^e frame.	215
8.12	Synchronously rotating frame machine model with input voltage and output current transformations.	216
8 13	SIMULINK implementation of Induction Machine Model	220
8.14	SIMULINK set-up of d ^e -q ^e flux linkage model of Induction motor	221
8 15	Complete Induction machine SIMULINK model	223
8 16	Induction machine model initialization file	224
8 17	Simulation Test Results	224
8.18	V/Hz control- SIMULINK Model	225
8 19	V/Hz Control	225
8.20	Indirect vector control SIMULINK model	226
8 21	Indirect vector control SIMULINK model: Response	226
8.22	Training and goal versus Epochs	228
8 23	Cascade operation	230
8 24	Block diagram for speed control of induction motor.	232
8 25(a)	Layout Editor	233
8 25(b)	Parts of GUI implementation.	234
8.26	M-file Execution path.	234
8.27	Graphical User Interface Generation of ANN Estimator	236
8 28	Graphical User Interface. Generation of ANN Training Set	237
8.29	Generated Block ANN Estimator	237
8 30	SIMULINK Model ANN based Speed Control of Induction Motor	238