Table of Figures

Figure 1. 1: Hydrogenation and isomerization of styrene oxide 31

Figure 1. 2 : Hydrodeoxygenation of m-cresol 31

Figure 2. 1: Flowchart for (a) deposition-precipitation of zirconia-alumina supports (b) precipitation of neat zirconia 47

Figure 2. 2: Flowchart of preparation of bicomponent zirconia-alumina supports by co-precipitation method 50

Figure 2. 3: Specific surface area of support samples 56

Figure 2. 4: Trend of pore volume with pH of precipitation 58

Figure 2. 5: Average pore diameter of bicomponent zirconia-alumina supports. 59

Figure 2. 6: EDAX analysis of (a) GA-7 (b) GA-8 (c) GA-9 (d) GA-10 61

Figure 2. 7: EDAX analysis of (a) GA(PHY)-7 (b) GA(PHY)-10 61

Figure 2. 8: DTG-DSC of alumina substrates used for deposition-precipitation (a) AT(S) (b) AM(S) (c) GA(S) 63

Figure 2. 9: DTG-DSC comparison of (a) GA series and (b) ZrO_2 *series samples* 64

Figure 2. 10: DTG-DSC of supports prepared by deposition-precipitation using different alumina substrates (a) AT series (b) AM series (c) GA series 64

Figure 2. 11: DTG-DSC of supports prepared by different methods (a) GA series – Deposition-Precipitation method (b) GA-PHY series – Physical Mixing method (c) CP series – co-precipitation method 65

Figure 2. 12: %weight loss by TGA for different supports 70

Figure 2. 13: Normalized wt loss (%) at (a) <200 °C (b) 200-400 °C (c) 400-600 °C and (d) 600-800 °C 72

Figure 2. 14: Evolved gas analysis of GA series samples using TGA-MS (a) evolution of H_2O *(m/z 18) (b) evolution of OH (m/z 17) (c) evolution of* CO_2 *(m/z 44) (d) evolution of NO (m/z 30)* 75

Figure 2. 15: Evolved gas analysis of ZrO2 series samples using TGA-MS (a) evolution of H2O (m/z 18) (b) evolution of OH (m/z 17) (c) evolution of CO_2 *(m/z 44) (d) evolution of NO (m/z 30)* 76

Figure 2. 16: various types of metal-carbonate species based on FTIR. 78

Figure 2. 17: Carbonate species in different co-ordination with metal ion 78

Figure 2. 18: XRD of alumina substrates used for deposition-precipitation (a) AT(S) (b) AM(S) (c) GA(S) 83

Figure 2. 19: XRD of ZrO_2 *Series* 84

Figure 2. 20: XRD of supports prepared by deposition-precipitation using different alumina substrates (a) AT series (b) AM series (c) GA series 85

Figure 2. 21: XRD of supports prepared by different methods (a) GA series – Deposition-Precipitation method (b) GA-PHY series – Physical Mixing method (c) CP series – co-precipitation method 85

Figure 2. 22: X-ray diffractogram of (a) GA-7, GA-10, (b) ZrO_2*-7,* ZrO_2*-10, c) AM-7, AM-10 and d) CP-7 and CP-10 supports calcined at 550 ℃ 8h* 90

Figure 2. 23: SEM images of (a) GA-7 (b) GA-8 (c) GA-9 and (d) GA-10 92

Figure 2. 24: SEM images of (a)ZrO_2*-7 (b)* ZrO_2*-10* 93

Figure 2. 25 : SEM images of (a)CP-7 (b)CP-10 93
Figure 2. 26: Comparison of conversion of MBOH of different supports at the first hour on stream 95
Figure 2. 27: Average of conversion over 8 hours on stream normalized for specific BET surface area .. 96
Figure 2. 28: Trends of selectivity for formation of acetone 98
Figure 2. 29: Trends of selectivity for formation of MByne 101
Figure 2. 30: Trends of selectivity for formation of HMB 102
Figure 2. 31: Trend of selectivity for formation of MiPk 103
Figure 2. 32: Comparison of decay constants for reaction of MBOH 104

Figure 3. 1 : Schematic of method of preparation of final supports 118
Figure 3. 2: XRD of support samples calcined at 550 ℃ 8h 122
Figure 3. 3: Trend of inter planar distance of zirconia with varying zirconia:alumina molar ratio in supports 124
Figure 3. 4: Trends of specific surface area, pore volume and average pore diameter of bicomponent ZrO_2-Al_2O_3 supports. 127
Figure 3. 5: Adsorption-desorption isotherms of the zirconia-alumina bicomponent supports: a) ZA 100, b) ZA 75, c) ZA 50, d) ZA 25 and e) ZA 0 129
Figure 3. 6: NH_3-TPD pattern of supports used in the study. 130
Figure 3. 7: Trend of weak, strong and total acidity of support samples. 131
Figure 3. 8: TEM of sample of (a) neat γ-alumina, ZA-0 (b) neat zirconia, ZA 100 (c) ZA-50 (d) ZA-75 and (e) ZA-25 134
Figure 3. 9: BET specific surface area and pore volume of the catalysts determined by Nitrogen physisorption 141
Figure 3. 10 : *Diffractograms of supported metal catalysts in their calcined form. a) Co catalysts b) Ni catalysts c) Cu catalysts* 143
Figure 3. 11: Crystallite size of metal oxides of Co, Ni and Cu determined from powder XRD by Scherrer equation 144
Figure 3. 12: NH_3-TPD pattern of a) supported Co catalysts b) supported Ni catalysts c) supported Cu catalysts. 147
Figure 3. 13: Weak, strong and total acidity of a) carriers b) supported Co catalysts c) supported Ni catalysts d) supported Cu catalysts. 148
Figure 3. 14: TPR profiles of a) Co-catalysts b) Ni-catalysts and c) Cu-catalysts supported on different supports 150
Figure 3. 15: Ratio of experimental hydrogen consumption to theoretical consumption in TPR analysis 153
Figure 3. 16: XPS of a) Co-ZA 100, b) Ni-ZA 100 and c) Cu-ZA 100 154
Figure 3. 17: XPS *of a) Co-ZA 0, b) Ni-ZA 0, c) Cu-ZA 0, d) Ni-ZA 50, e) Co-ZA 50 and f) Cu-ZA 50* .. 155
Figure 3. 18: Trend of surface concentrations of active metals in the supported metal catalysts as determined by XPS 156
Figure 3. 19: Ratio of ZrO_2/Al_2O_3 (molar) of the supported metal catalysts as determined by XPS 157
Figure 3. 20 : Raman Spectra of supported (a) Cobalt catalysts (b) Nickel catalysts and (c) Copper catalysts (d) Change in intensity of Raman band of Nickel catalysts with zirconia content (e) Change in intensity of Raman band of Copper catalysts with zirconia content 158

Figure 3. 21: Raman shift bands of a) Co catalysts, b) Ni catalysts c) Cu catalysts supported on zirconia-alumina 160

Figure 4. 1: Schematic of testing of catalysts for transformation of styrene oxide 174
Figure 4. 2: Retention time of various products/biproducts 174
Figure 4. 3: Reaction scheme for transformation of styrene oxide 177
Figure 4. 4: Conversion of styrene oxide on a) Co-catalysts b) Ni-catalysts c) Cu-catalysts supported on zirconia-alumina 177
Figure 4. 5: Space Time Yields of 2-PEA, PAA and (PAA+2-PEA) on Co, Ni and Cu catalysts supported on zirconia-alumina 179
Figure 4. 6: Trends of STY of PAA and strong acidity by NH_3 *TPD* 180
Figure 4. 7: Trend of surface concentration of active metals as a function of zirconia content of catalyst. 182
Figure 4. 8: XRD peak intensity ratio of Cu(200) and Cu(111) peaks of reduced Cu-ZA # series of catalysts 183
Figure 4. 9: Relative selectivity between formation of styrene and 2-PEA on a) Co-catalysts b) Ni-catalysts c) Cu-catalysts supported on zirconia-alumina 185
Figure 4. 10: Positional isomer selectivity of PEA on a) Co-catalysts b)Ni-catalysts c) Cu-catalysts supported on zirconia-alumina 188
Figure 4. 11: Trend of time on stream conversion of styrene oxide as a function of reaction temperature. 189
Figure 4. 12: Trends of steady state conversion of styrene oxide and selectivity to key products as a function of reaction temperature 190
Figure 4. 13: Effect of reaction pressure on conversion of styrene oxide and selectivity to key products 191
Figure 4. 14: Effect of space velocity on conversion and product selectivity 192
Figure 4. 15: Conversion of styrene oxide on regenerated Ni-ZA 25 193
Figure 4. 16: Product selectivity on regenerated Ni-ZA 25 194

Figure 5. 1: Strong and total acidity of catalysts and supports by NH_3 *TPD* 208
Figure 5. 2: Acid strength of catalysts and supports by NH_3 *TPD* 210
Figure 5. 3: Crystallite size of the final catalysts 211
Figure 5. 4: Peak area of active metals by XPS 214
Figure 5. 5: Trend of conversion of m-cresol 215
Figure 5. 6: Trend of selectivity to xylenol and phenol. 217
Figure 5. 7: Weigh ratio of phenol/xylenol 218
Figure 5. 8: Selectivity to CH_4 219
Figure 5. 9: Trend of selectivity to Benzene 220
Figure 5. 10: Selectivity trend of benzene and phenol 222
Figure 5. 11: Trends of cyclohexane and benzene 225
Figure 5. 12: Trend of selectivity to Toluene 226
Figure 5. 13: Selectivity for formation of aromatics (Benzene+Toluene+Xylene)catalysts 229
Figure 5. 14: Trends of product selectivity of Hydrogenation, hydrodeoxygenation and tautomerization of the supported metal catalysts. 232

Figure 5. 15: Trends of product yields of Hydrogenation (HYD), hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) and deoxygenation (TAU) of the supported metal catalysts 233
Figure 5. 16: Proposed scheme for HDO of m-cresol 235
Figure 5. 17: Carbon/coke content of used catalysts after HDO of m-cresol 236