

# List of Tables

|     |                                                                                                               |    |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1 | Confusion Matrix . . . . .                                                                                    | 19 |
| 3.1 | Comparison of Accuracy and Time for different kernels using SVM . .                                           | 33 |
| 3.2 | Confusion matrix for WDBC dataset using SVM for different kernels                                             | 35 |
| 3.3 | Performance measures of SVM for WDBC dataset . . . . .                                                        | 35 |
| 3.4 | Comparison of classification accuracy of other papers with our experiments for WDBC dataset . . . . .         | 35 |
| 3.5 | Comparison of Accuracy and computation time for different kernel using SVM . . . . .                          | 36 |
| 3.6 | Confusion matrix for WBC dataset using SVM for different kernels .                                            | 37 |
| 3.7 | Performance measures of SVM for WBC dataset . . . . .                                                         | 37 |
| 3.8 | Comparison of classification accuracy of other papers with this current experiments for WBC dataset . . . . . | 38 |
| 4.1 | Associated terminology of BNN and ANN . . . . .                                                               | 41 |
| 4.2 | DNN without ICA and 10-fold CV - Case 1 . . . . .                                                             | 62 |
| 4.3 | R-DNN with ICA and with 10-fold CV - Case 2 . . . . .                                                         | 65 |
| 4.4 | Performance analysis of the proposed R-DNN+ICA model . . . . .                                                | 68 |
| 4.5 | DNN without ICA and 10-fold CV - Case 1 . . . . .                                                             | 69 |
| 4.6 | R-DNN with ICA and with 10-fold CV - Case 2 . . . . .                                                         | 71 |
| 4.7 | Performance analysis of the proposed R-DNN+ICA model . . . . .                                                | 74 |
| 5.1 | Two passes to learn parameter for ANFIS . . . . .                                                             | 83 |

---

|     |                                                                                                              |     |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.2 | Range of Gaussian membership function for each selected feature . . .                                        | 88  |
| 5.3 | Gaussian membership function with a range of Linguistic variables<br>for each selected feature . . . . .     | 89  |
| 5.4 | Performance analysis of most popular Breast Cancer detection methods                                         | 97  |
| 6.1 | Performance analysis for 90-10% train-test set - Standard RBFN . . .                                         | 119 |
| 6.2 | Performance analysis for 80-20% train-test set - Standard RBFN . . .                                         | 119 |
| 6.3 | Performance analysis for 70-30% train-test set - Standard RBFN . . .                                         | 120 |
| 6.4 | Confusion matrix and accuracy with different RBF's for different<br>train-test set - Standard RBFN . . . . . | 121 |
| 6.5 | Performance analysis - LR-RBFN . . . . .                                                                     | 122 |
| 6.6 | Comparison of Standard RBFN vs Proposed LR-RBFN vs Ensemble<br>LR-RBFN . . . . .                             | 124 |
| 6.7 | Performance comparison of various author's work . . . . .                                                    | 125 |
| 8   | Wisconsin Breast Cancer (WBC) Dataset. . . . .                                                               | 128 |