

CONTENTS

<u>Title</u>	<u>Page no.</u>
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1-14
RATIONALE	1
THE KACHCHH RIFT BASIN	3
SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES	4
STUDY AREA	5
Location	5
Communication	5
Physiography	6
Drainages	7
Climate	8
Flora	8
Fauna	9
People and Occupation	9
APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	10
ARRANGEMENT OF CONTENTS	12
 CHAPTER 2 REGIONAL GEOLOGY	 15-30
STRUCTURAL SETUP	16
Tectonic evolution	17
MESOZOIC STRATIGRAPHY	18
Jhurio Formation	19
Jumara Formation	20
Jhuran Formation	20
Bhuj Formation	22
TERTIARY STRATIGRAPHY	22
Matanomadh Formation	23
Naredi Formation	25
Harudi Formation	25
Fulra Limestone Formation	26
Maniyara Fort Formation	26
Khari Nadi Formation	27
Chhasra Formation	27
Sandhan Formation	28
TERTIARY MARINE CYCLES	28
PALEOEROSIONAL SURFACES	29
 CHAPTER 3 GEOMORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS	
OF FAULT SCARPS	31-59
FAULT SCARP	31
SCARP MORPHOLOGY	33
Eastern KMF	33

Devisar dome	33
Khirsara Dome	36
Jhuran Anticline	36
Lotia Dam Dome	39
Kas Hill Dome	40
Habo Dome	41
Jhurio Dome	43
Western KMF	45
Nara Dome	46
Jumara Dome	47
Jara Dome	49
Mundhan Anticline	50
Ghunerri Dome	51
Lakhpur Anticline	52
TWIN PARALLEL SCARPS	53
Jaramara Scarp	53
Kas Hill Scarp	56
CHAPTER 4 SCARP DEGRADATION AND NEOTECTONISM	60-80
QUATERNARY COLLUVIO-FLUVIAL DEPOSITS	61
ALONG KMF	
SEGMENT-WISE CHARACTERISTICS OF	
QUATERNARY COLLUVIUM ALONG KMF	63
Along strike variation in nature of colluvio-fluvial	66
deposits	
Thickness variation of colluvio-fluvial	66
deposits	
IMPLICATION FOR SLOPE PROCESSES ON	67
SCARP DEGRADATION	
OTHER NEOTECTONIC EVIDENCES FOR	70
FAULTING AND TRANSIENT LANDSCAPE	
DURING QUATERNARY PERIOD	
Incision	70
Knickpoints	73
Deformed miliolite deposits	75
LATE QUATERNARY REACTIVATION AND	
LANDSCAPE EVOLUTION ALONG NHRFZ	76
CHAPTER 5 DEVELOPMENT OF TWIN SCARPS:	
JARA-JUMARA SECTOR	81-104
DRAINAGE ANALYSIS	84
MORPHOMETRIC PARAMETERS	86
LONGITUDINAL RIVER PROFILE ANALYSIS	87
Hack's Profile	89

Stream length-gradient index (SL)	91
VALLEY FLOOR WIDTH TO HEIGHT RATIO	91
Hypsometric curve and hypsometric integral	92
Escarpment sinuosity and elongation ratio	94
Implication for structurally controlled fluvial dissection	94
Rivers flowing through the Jara dome	95
River flowing through inter-domal saddle zone	97
Rivers flowing through the Jumara dome	98
Local controls of lithological variations	99
IMPLICATION FOR SCARP DEVELOPMENT	101
CHAPTER 6 DEVELOPMENT OF TWIN SCARPS-KAS HILL SECTOR	105-126
LONGITUDINAL RIVER PROFILE ANALYSIS	109
Characteristics of rivers originating from Kas Hill scarp face	111
Characteristics of rivers dissecting the KHS	111
MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSIS	114
Segment I	114
Segment II	115
Segment III	115
Segment IV	116
Segment V	116
INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS	118
Role of tectonics	118
Role of lithology	120
IMPLICATION FOR SCARP DEVELOPMENT	121
CHAPTER 7 SURFACE EXPOSURE DATING	127-149
COSMOGENIC RADIONUCLIDES	127
JARA-JUMARA SECTOR-Western KMF	131
Jaramara Scarp	131
Jara River gorge	131
Sample collection strategy for Jara-Jumara sector	133
KAS HILL SECTOR-Eastern KMF	134
Khirsara fault scarp	134
Sample collection strategy for Kas Hill sector	135
SAMPLE TREATMENT FOR ¹⁰ Be DATING	136
RESULTS	138
Khirsara fault scarp	138
Jaramara Scarp	139
Jara River gorge	139

IMPLICATION OF SURFACE EXPOSURE CHRONOLOGY	141
Khirsara fault scarp	141
Jaramara Scarp	142
CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR JARA RIVER GORGE EVOLUTION	143
CHAPTER 8 DISCUSSION	150-176
SCARP MORPHOLOGY AND CLASSIFICATION	150
SCARP DEVELOPMENT ALONG KMF	154
Kas Hill sector – Eastern KMF	154
Drainage characteristics	155
Quaternary sedimentation and deformation along KMF	156
Cosmogenic surface exposure dating	157
Jara-Jumara sector – Western KMF	164
Drainage characteristics	164
Cosmogenic surface exposure dating	165
LATERAL PROPAGATION OF KMF	168
MORPHOTECTONIC EVOLUTION OF SCARPS ALONG KMF	169
CHAPTER 9 CONCLUSIONS	177-180
REFERENCES	181-198
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	199-200

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure no.	Description	Page no.
Figure 1.1	Geological map of the Kachchh Rift Basin (after Biswas & Khattri, 2002). Original map was digitized and redrawn by Patidar (2010). Boxed area with dotted line shows the location of the study area. The major fault systems and epicenters of historical earthquakes are also highlighted in the map.	6
Figure 1.2	Flow chart showing a brief outline of methodology adopted for the present study.	12
Figure 2.1	Structural map of Kachchh Rift Basin showing the major anticlines and synclines in the basin (after Biswas and Khatri, 2002). Map redrawn by Patidar (2010).	17
Figure 2.2	Geological map of Kachchh showing major lithological and structural features (after Biswas & Khattri, 2002). Map redrawn by Patidar (2010).	19
Figure 3.1	Geological map of the study area draped over the Digital Elevation Model (source: https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov and Biswas & Khattri, 2002). Major contrast in the lithologies and physiography of segments in the eastern KMF are highlighted in the map. Note that the KMF passing between the rugged topography of NHR and flat Banni plain to the north. The narrow belt of Quaternary sediments between the KMFS and Banni plain is demarcated. The KMF is concealed under thick pile of colluvio-fluvial and alluvial sediments in the eastern Kachchh. DD: Devisar dome, KDE: Khirsara dome east, KDW: Khirsara dome west, JA: Jhuran anticline, LDD: Lotia dam dome, KHD: Kas hill dome, HD: Habo dome, JHD: Jhurio dome.	34
Figure 3.2	Swath profile and cross section profile of Devisar dome. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.1.	35
Figure 3.3	Southward view of north facing KMFS near the Devisar dome. Note that the scarp is not coincident with the KMF.	36
Figure 3.4	Swath profile and cross section profile of Khirsara dome. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.1.	37
Figure 3.5	Swath profile and cross section profile of Jhuran anticline. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.1.	38

Figure 3.6	Photograph shows KMFS near the eastern tip of the Jhuran anticline. Here the scarp preserves remanent of the original fault plane.	38
Figure 3.7	Photograph shows the KMFS exposure near the eastern side of the Lotia Dam dome. The scarp at the location is formed in the Jumara formation. The base of the scarp is characterised by aeolian miliolite deposits.	39
Figure 3.8	Swath profile and cross section profile of Lotia Dam dome. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.1.	40
Figure 3.9	Swath profile and cross section profile of Kas Hill dome. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.1.	41
Figure 3.10	Swath profile and cross section profile of Habo dome. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.1.	42
Figure 3.11	(a) Photograph shows the KMFS exposed in the Habo dome. Here the scarp is generally exposed in the Jumara and Jhuran formation. (b) A view of triangular facet exposed at the center of the Habo dome. (c) Southward view of the KMFS exposed at the Jhurio dome.	43
Figure 3.12	Swath profile and cross section profile of Jhurio dome. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.1.	44
Figure 3.13	Geological map of the study area draped over the Digital Elevation Model (source: https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov and Biswas & Khattri, 2002). Major lithologies and physiography of segments in the eastern KMF are highlighted in the map. Note that the KMFS becomes highly discontinuous along the western KMF. JMS forms a continuous and prominent feature striking parallel to the KMFS and KMF. Here, ND: Nara dome; JMD: Jumara dome; AI: Amedi intrusive; UKI: Ukra intrusive; JD: Jara dome; MA: Mundhan anticline; GD: Guneri dome; LA: Lakpat anticline; JMS: Jaramara scarp; GRK: Great Rann of Kachhh.	45
Figure 3.14	Swath profile and cross section profile of Nara dome. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig 3.13.	46
Figure 3.15	Swath profile and cross section profile of Jumara dome. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.13.	47

Figure 3.16	(a) Field photograph showing the KMFS near the Jumara dome. (b) A close view of the KMFS at the center of the Jumara dome. Here the scarp is formed in the Jumara Formation.	48
Figure 3.17	Swath profile and cross section profile of Jara dome. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.13.	49
Figure 3.18	Swath profile and cross section profile of Mundhan anticline. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.13.	50
Figure 3.19	Swath profile and cross-section profile of Ghuneru dome. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.13.	51
Figure 3.20	Swath profile and cross-section profile of Lakhpat dome. Colour scheme is as per geological map in Fig. 3.13.	52
Figure 3.21	Swath profile along and across the Jaramara scarp.	54
Figure 3.22	a) Southward view of the KMFS at the western extremity of the Jumara dome. The JMS is seen in the background. The Jaramara scarp have comparatively greater height than the KMFS (b) Southward view of the KMFS with JMS in the background. (c) Oblique view of the JMS at the central portion. (d) Digital elevation Model (DEM) depicting the major geomorphic features of Jara-Jumara sector. Note that the Jaramara scarp becomes the prominent scarp in the sector. Here JMS: Jaramara scarp; KMFS: Kachchh Mainland Fault scarp; KMF: Kachchh Mainland Fault; JMD: Jumara dome; JD: Jara dome; UKI: Ukra intrusive; GRK: Great Rann of Kachchh, JRG: Jara River gorge.	55
Figure 3.23	Swath profile along and across the Kas Hill scarp.	56
Figure 3.24	Digital elevation Model (DEM) depicting the major geomorphic features of Kas Hill sector. Note that the Kas hill scarp becomes the prominent scarp of the sector. Here KHS: Kas Hill scarp; KMFS: Kachchh Mainland Fault scarp; KMF: Kachchh Mainland Fault; JA: Jhuran anticline; LDD: Lotia dam dome; KHD: Kas Hill dome; HD: Habo dome, NRG: Nihwara River gorge, LRG: Lotia River gorge; BSN: Banni basin.	57
Figure 3.25	(a) Field photograph showing the KHS exposed in the segment III. Note that the KHS becomes the prominent scarp in the region with height several times higher than the KMFS. (b) Photograph showing the general geomorphic setting of the region. (c) Southward view of KHS. (d) Photograph showing a road cut section across the KHS exposing the Jhuran Formation.	58

- Figure 4.1 GPR profile of eastern KMF indicating the subsurface nature of the KMF zone (After Maurya et al., 2022). Here, RF1: Holocene sediments, RF2a: colluvio-fluvial wedge out deposits, RF2b: Late Quaternary deposits, RF3: Mesozoic rocks, RF4: Tertiary rocks. 62
- Figure 4.2 GPR profile recorded across the KMF zone near Jumara dome (western KMF) indicating the subsurface nature of the zone. (After Shaikh et al., 2022). Here, r1a-hso: loose Rann sediments, r2-lpw: Late Pleistocene colluvial deposits, r3b-ms: deformed Mesozoic sandstone/ shale, r3a-ms: Mesozoic sandstone, r4a-tl: Tertiary limestone. 63
- Figure 4.3 Lithologs of Late Quaternary sediments along various segments of eastern KMF (after Maurya et al., 2017). Note the progressive variation in the sedimentary facies from segment I to segment IV. Colluvio-fluvial deposits are negligible in segment V. The vertical scale is in meters. 64
- Figure 4.4 Slope versus age (Redrawn from Wallace, 1977). Note that bedrock scarp with higher slope angle remains in gravity-controlled erosion for longer period of time. 68
- Figure 4.5 Photographs shows intense incision of north flowing rivers in the KMF zone. a) View of incised Quaternary sediments in the vicinity of KMF near Jhura dome (segment I). Here the Quaternary sediments rest uncomfortably over the steeply dipping Mesozoic rocks. b) View of incised colluvio-fluvial sediments in the Falay River near Habo dome (segment II). c) Intense incision by Lotia River in the vicinity of KMF near Lotia Dam dome (segment III). Here the river incises through the Bhuj Formation and Quaternary Formation. d) Southward view of the KMF zone with KMFS in the background. Here the Nihwara River shows incision in the Quaternary miliolite and recent sediments. The river also forms knickpoint (3m fall) in Quaternary Formation. 71
- Figure 4.6 Photographs showing knickpoints along the KMF. (a) Photograph shows 10m fall in the upstream of the Nihwara River. (b) Multiple sets of knickpoint developed in the Bhuj Formation further upstream of the previous knickpoint in the Nihwara River. (c) Knickpoint (10m fall) in the downstream portion of the Nihwara River in the vicinity of KMF. (d) Photographs shows 5m fall formed in colluvio-fluvial deposit in the Falay River. (e) Gandi River forms multiple knickpoint in the zone of KMF. The photograph shows 20m fall near the KMF 74

zone. (f) Jara River forms multiple sets in the upstream of the river in the hard sandstone unit of Jhuran Formation.

Figure 4.7 (a) Vertical miliolite beds exposed close to the vicinity of KMF 76
in the segment III. Deformed miliolite beds suggest tectonic movements along KMF during the post miliolite phase. (b) Another view of steeply dipping miliolite in the vicinity of KMF.

Figure 4.8 Schematic diagram depicting the stages of scarp degradation and 79
sedimentation along the KMF zone. (a) The aeolian activity and miliolite deposition was common along the KMF zone during the Mid to Late Pleistocene period. This led to deposition of the miliolite in front of the scarp. The first episode of heightened tectonic activity during 100ka results in the collapse of scarp face and generation of colluvium at the base of the scarp. (b) The active fluvial reworking and gullyng activity led to the transportation of the colluvium generated further north into the KMF zone. The dominance of the fluvial activity also led to the deposition massive sand along the KMF zone (c) The second episode of heightened tectonic activity along the KMF resulted in the collapse of the scarp and generation of colluvial deposit along the base of the scarp. (d) The periodic base level changes as a result of continuation of the tectonic activity to the recent times lead to the fluvial reworking of the colluvial deposit. The continued neotectonism to the recent times lead to the incision of the colluvio-fluvial deposits and generation of the knickpoints in the vicinity of the KMF. The baselevel changes results in the headward growth of stream and augmented the gullyng activity in the scarp face. The processes will eventually lead to the degradation and retreat of the scarp face.

Figure 5.1 Drainage and geological map of the study area draped over 83
DEM. Major structures and geomorphic units are also shown. All rivers analysed in the present study are marked by arrows. Unnamed rivers are numbered as River 1-5. Note that the oldest Mesozoic formation, the Jhurio Formation is exposed only in the centre of Jumara dome. Note that all drainages of Jara and Jumara domes flow towards north. KMF: Kachchh Mainland Fault, JD: Jara Dome, JMD: Jumara Dome, AMI: Amedi Intrusive, KMFS: KMF Scarp, JMS: Jaramara scarp.

Figure 5.2 Long profiles of the rivers flowing perpendicular to the major 88
structures and geomorphic units. Elevation is based on Survey of India topographical map (survey year-1960-1966). The morphology of the river profiles varies from concave up to convex up nature even though the broad structural pattern is

same in Jara and Jumara domes. Note that the gradient changes correspond to lithological variations.

- Figure 5.3 Normalized stream profiles or dimensionless curves (ratio of elevation to ratio of distance) of the major river in the study area. Note the L-shaped nature of the curves representing deep incision in the upper to medial portion of the curve. 89
- Figure 5.4 Hack profile/semi-logarithmic profiles showing the zones of major break in slope and deviation from the graded profile. Note: All rivers except the River 4 show a major upwarping above the equilibrium line. 90
- Figure 5.5 Plots of SL index (dashed lines) as a function of downstream distance overlapped on longitudinal profiles (solid line) of the rivers. Note that the anomalous peaks of SL index correlate with changes in river gradients including knickpoints. 92
- Figure 5.6 Hypsometric curves of rivers in the study area. The Gandi River, River 1 and River 2 show S-shaped curves indicating a mature stage of the landscape. Whereas River 4 and River 5 show concave up curve indicating late mature or old stage of the basin. Note the break in slope of the hypsometric curves of River 3, Jara River and Jumara river caused by the lithological contrast between the lower and upper Jhuran Formation. 93
- Figure 5.7 (a) Plotted values of escarpment sinuosity of the crest (red colour) and base (black colour) of the JMS. The x-axis corresponds to the lateral extent of the scarp with location of rivers draining through the scarp. Note that the pattern of dissection of the rim (120m) and base (80m) of the scarp is similar suggesting that the dissection carried out by the rivers is in phase with the retreat of scarp. (b) Relationship between hypsometric integral and elongation ratio. Blue squares-eastern rivers (Jumara and River 5), red squares- western rivers (Gandi river, River 1, River 2), violet squares- Jara river, Black square-River 4. The parameters indicate that the major drainage basins are increasing their basin area longitudinally rather than laterally. However, the River 4 with lower hypsometric integral and moderate elongation ratio is increasing the drainage area laterally. 95
- Figure 5.8 Plot displays SL anomaly index along the course of the Jara and Jumara river. The parameters indicate that the river gradient is changing at the contact between upper and lower Jhuran Formation. The variation in the SL anomaly index of the north- 100

flowing river basin indicates lithological control on the landscape and is having an important connotation in the retreat of the scarp in the region.

- Figure 6.1 Geological map of the study area draped over the Digital Elevation Model (source: <https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov> and Biswas & Khattri, 2002). Major contrast in the lithologies and physiography of segments in the eastern KMF are highlighted in the map. Note that the KMF is passing between the rugged topography of NHR and flat Banni plain to the north. The narrow belt of Quaternary sediments between the KMFS and the Banni plain is also demarcated. The KMF is concealed under a thick pile of colluvio-fluvial and alluvial sediments in the eastern Kachchh. The location of the KMF was represented in the map with available Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) data (source: Maurya et al., 2017) and field studies. Also seen are the north and south-flowing drainage systems in the footwall block of KMF. Numbers R1-R30 indicate the rivers studied in the present work. Note that the oldest Mesozoic lithology, the Jhurio Formation exposed at the center of Jhurio dome and Habo dome. 106
- Figure 6.2 Drainage map of the study area. The rivers investigated in the present study are demarcated in the map. Note that the KHS becomes a secondary drainage divide in the segment III of the eastern KMF. Here the scarp is characterised by numerous north flowing rivers originating from the scarp face. The major geomorphic division of region is highlighted in the map. 107
- Figure 6.3 Normalized stream profiles or dimensionless curves (ratio of elevation to ratio of distance) of the major river in the study area. Note the gradually decreasing 'L' shaped nature of the long profiles towards the east. The 'L' shaped nature of the curves indicates deepening in the upper to middle portion of rivers. The rivers draining the segment I, II and III show the highest level of deepening. 110
- Figure 6.4 Hack profile/semi-logarithmic profiles showing the zones of major break in slope and deviation from the graded profile. Note that the rivers show a major upwarping above the equilibrium line. 112
- Figure 6.5 (a) Longitudinal profile of Nihwara River with SL index. Note that the rivers show significant variation at the zone of KMF and at the lithological contact between Bhuj and Jhuran Formation. 113

(b) Hack profiles/semi-logarithmic profiles of Nihwara River.
 (c) Longitudinal profile of Lotia River. Note that significant change in the SL index at zone of KMF and upstream side.
 (d) Hack profile/semi-logarithmic profiles of Lotia River. Note that both the rivers show a major upwarping above the equilibrium line.

- Figure 6.6 Relationship between hypsometric integral (HI) and elongation ratio (Re). The parameters indicate that the drainage basins are increasing their basin area longitudinally rather than laterally. The present nature of the north-flowing river basin in the study area has an important connotation in the retreat of the scarp in the region. 120
- Figure 6.7. Hypsometric curves of rivers in the study area. In segment I and II, concave up average hypsometric curves (solid line) can be seen, while in segment III a S-shaped curve, indicative of an early to late developing stage in the geomorphic cycle is seen. The western segments (Segment I and II) have experienced greater net uplift and tectonically induced fluvial erosion than the eastern segments. The segments IV and V are more straight, indicating that the topography is in a youthful stage of development. 123
- Figure 7.1 (a) Southward view of the Jaramara scarp. (b) Photograph showing southward view of Jara River gorge. (c) Field setting of Jaramara scarp. Note the hard upper member of Jhuran Formation exposed at the summit of the scarp. (d) Field setting of Jara River gorge. The river incises through hard upper member of Jhuran Formation. 132
- Figure 7.2 (a) Southward view of the KMFS in the Jhuran anticline near Khirsara village. Note the location of samples collected for cosmogenic surface exposure dating. (b) Close view of fade striations in the scarp. Fade striations are indicating preserved fault plane. This makes the scarp most suitable location for cosmogenic exposure dating. (c) Field setting of KMF as observed in the Khirsara region. The KMF marks the sharp lithotectonic contact between near vertical Mesozoic rocks to the south and Tertiary rocks to the north. 134
- Figure 7.3 Flow chart depicting the procedures adopted for chemical processing and extraction of ^{10}Be in the rock samples. The ^{10}Be 137

concentration obtained from the AMS was used for exposure age estimation for the present study.

- Figure 7.4 Stages of incision of Jara River and gorge formation. (a) intense incision of the valley during the later part of Pliocene. The sample was not exposed to cosmic rays during the period. (b) the continued tectonic uplift and incision led to further deepening of the channel. The sample got exposed to cosmic rays and started production of cosmogenic ^{10}Be nuclides. 146
- Figure 7.5 Later stages of gorge evolution. (a) Period of tectonic dormancy in the region led to valley widening and formation of the terrace like platform in the river valley. The sample was constantly exposed to cosmic rays. (b) Infilling of the valley as a result of intense aeolian activity in the Mid Pleistocene period. The sample got buried under the thick aeolian cover for a short period of time. The burial must have inhibited the production of ^{10}Be in the sample. (c) The Late Pleistocene tectonic uplift that continued to the present led to intense vertical erosion and deepening of the gorge to the present level. 147
- Figure 8.1 Classification of scarps along KMF. (a) Residual range front normal scarp which includes majority of the scarps along KMF, including KHS and JMS (b) Simple range front normal scarp (eg. Khirsara scarp). 153
- Figure 8.2 Schematic models showing the sequential development of scarp along the eastern KMF zone. (a) As the rifting progressed a minor scarp with low relief formed along the KMF zone. At the same time, thick synrift sedimentation during the period hindered the scarp from developing a prominent relief. (b) The main Deccan Trap eruptive phase in an extensional regime. The eruption rose upwards through the weak KMF zone uplifted and domed up the overlying Mesozoic sequence. The forced intrusion of the magma led to the vertical movements along the KMF, creating fault parallel footwall flexure and prominent north facing scarp in the KMF zone. The uneven emplacement of the intrusion in the domes resulted in variable magnitude of uplift in the segments of KMF. The resulted in the development of wide saddle zone between the Habo and Kas Hill anticline. The saddle zone developed natured the ancestor of the present Kaswali River. The variable uplift imparted variable height and geometry for the flexure and scarp formed. (c) The domes started to deform further due to the onset of north-south compressive stress related to the Indian-Eurasian plate collision. The initially 160

formed scarp started to degrade as a result of the periodic tectonic pulses along the KMF zone. The variable amount of uplift favored the variable rate of degradation of the scarp. The Habo dome with highest uplift favored higher scarp degradation. (d) Prolonged period of compressive stress along the fault zone resulted an increase in the rate of vertical movements along KMF and favored the development of younger and new fault scarp along the faultline. The older scarp retreated further southward forming the KHS. The variable rate of degradation and retreat towards south continued. The newly formed KMFS near the KMF zone acted as a barrier and further restricted the Burdigalian high sea from advancing to the inland areas. (e) The continuing tectonic activity to the present increased the amplitude of domal deformation and degradation of the scarps and the scarp reached the present location.

Figure 8.3 Schematic models showing the sequential development of scarp along the western KMF zone from the Miocene period. (a) The low relief KMF scarp prevented the Burdigalian sea from advancing to the inland area. The Jaramara scarp in the background existed as the prominent feature of the landscape (b) The post Miocene tectonic uplifts led to the vertical movements along the KMF. The uplift continued to the Late Pliocene and the Early Pleistocene. The heightened level of uplift led to the incision and commencement of gorge formation along the KMF. The uplift along the KMF led to overall growth of topography and degradation of KMFS and Jaramara scarp; result of tectonically induced erosion (c) The period of heightened tectonic uplift along the KMF is followed by a period of reduced tectonic uplift or tectonic quiescence. The tectonic quiescence led to valley widening along the Jara River (d) Late Pleistocene period is marked by extensive deposition of aeolian Miliolite formation across the valley. The region was undergoing tectonic uplift indicated by the exposure of the present Jaramara scarp (e) The continuing compressive stress to the present increased the amplitude of domal deformation, degradation of the scarps and incision of the valley. The continued uplift and fluvial erosion led to the degradation and retreat of the scarp to the present position. Here, JMS: Jaramara scarp, KMF: Kachchh Mainland Fault, KMFS: Kachchh Mainland Fault scarp, MF: Miliolite Formation. 167

LIST OF TABLES

Table no.	Description	Page no.
Table 2.1	Litho-stratigraphy of the Mesozoic rocks of Kachchh Basin, (after Biswas, 1977)	21
Table 2.2	Table 2.2 Stratigraphy of Tertiary sediments of Kachchh basin (after Biswas, 1992)	24
Table 5.1	Categories of rivers in the study area. River types are based on Milliman and Syvitski (1992).	83
Table 5.2	Standard relationships used for the calculation of morphometric indices.	86
Table 5.3	Summary of various morphometric parameters calculated for the rivers in the study area.	87
Table 6.1	Summary of various morphometric parameters calculated for the rivers in the study area.	117
Table 7.1	^{10}Be inferred surface exposure ages. $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratios were blank and spike corrected.	140
Table 8.1	Summary of morphotectonic evolution of the twin parallel fault scarps along KMF.	171

ABBREVIATIONS

KRB: Kachchh Rift Basin

IBF: Island Belt Fault

KMF: Kachchh Mainland Fault

NKF: North Kathiawar Fault

JMS: Jaramara Scarp

NHR: Northern Hill Range

NPF: Nagar Parkar Fault

SWF: South Wagad Fault

KHF: Katrol Hill Fault

KMFS: Kachchh Mainland Fault Scarp

KHS: Kas Hill Scarp

NHRFZ: Northern Hill Range Flexure Zone