

**:LIST OF FIGURES:**

- Fig. 1. Geological map of Kutch, showing Cretaceous and Tertiary rocks and important Deccantrap sections.
- Fig. 2. Composite lithologs of Deccan trap section of Kutch, Gujarat.
- Fig. 3. Map of world showing important meteorite/high velocity falls.
- Fig. 3A. Map of world showing important K/T sections, meteorite falls and Iridium anomalies.
- Fig. 4. Detail geological map of the area around Anjar, district Kutch, Gujarat.
- Fig. 4A. Regional geological map of the area around Anjar, Toposheet 41E/16 and 41I/4.
- Fig. 5. Geological map of volcanosedimentary formation, Kutch, Gujarat.
- Fig. 7. Geological map of volcano sedimentary formation around Matanamadh, Dayapar and Ukra, Kutch.
- Fig. 8. Composite geological section of volcano sedimentary sequence at Dayapar
- Fig. 9. Composite Litho-stratigraphic section of Mesozoic rocks of Kutch.
- Fig. 10. Composite Lithostratigraphic section at Pakhera and Fatehgarh, Kutch.
- Fig. 11. Map showing recent dinosaur fossil finds in Gujarat, with insets showing detail geological maps of each area
- Fig. 12. Plan showing the excavation pit at Anjar, Kutch.
- Fig. 13. Interpreted sketches of dinosaur foot prints at Fatehgarh, Kutch.
- Fig. 14. Sketch of best preserved dinosaur foot print at Fatehgarh, Kutch.
- Fig. 15. Dinosaur foot print and foot track sites near Fatehgarh, Kutch.
- Fig. 16. Dinosaur foot print and foot track near North-east of Tharauda, Kutch.
- Fig. 17. Geographic distribution of Jurassic and Cretaceous dinosaur in Asia and Africa.
- Fig. 17A. Detail facies map of third inter-trappean bed along east-west direction, at Anjar.
- Fig. 18. A.F.M. diagram of Kutch volcanic
- Fig. 19. FeO(T)-MgO-CaO plot of Volcanic of Kutch, after Nichols and Allen, 1960.
- Fig. 20. Variation diagrams of Major Oxides Vs MgO for Kutch volcanic, after Maaloe Saven et. al., 1986.
- Fig. 21. Variation diagram of Major Oxide Vs SiO<sub>2</sub> for Kutch volcanics.
- Fig. 22. Plot of normative Ne-Ol-Di-Hy-Q for Kutch volcanics to show regional variation from east to west.
- Fig. 23. Plot of T.A.S. diagram of LeBas, 1986, for Kutch volcanics.
- Fig. 24. Plot of SI, MI Vs Flow Numbers for Kutch volcanics.
- Fig. 25. Plot of SiO<sub>2</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O Vs Flow Numbers for Kutch volcanics.
- Fig. 26. Plot of DI Vs Flow Numbers for Kutch volcanics.
- Fig. 27. Ternary plot of MgO, FeO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> after, Pearce et al., 1977. a part of field near

FeO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> base is enlarged and shown.

- Fig. 28. Palaeogeographical reconstruction showing palaeoposition of India during Maastrichtian and Palaeocene (modified after Scot's et al., 1988, Chatterjee 1996 and Barrera and Keller, 1994).
- Fig. 29. Sketches showing dinosaurian bone fossil from Patcham Island, Kutch.
- Fig. 30. Sketches of dinosaurian bone fossil of second and Third intertrappean beds at Anjar.
- Fig. 31. Chemical profiles of various elements in the dinosaur pit locality at Anjar.
- Fig. 32. Palaeomagnetostratigraphy of Anjar area.
- Fig. 33A. Global distribution of impact craters, flood basalt provinces, hot spots and high Iridium concentration in K/T boundary horizon based on studies by different groups.
- Fig. 33B. Location of various continents around K/T times based on modifications after Courillot, 1995
- Fig. 33C. Sketch map showing the localities of Maastrichtian dinosaurs around the Deccan volcanic province before the final scenario (Proposed Fig. 34), Microcontinent Chelles was adjacent to India. The bold arrows indicate possible directions of intercraton extensions of upper Cretaceous Sea and Deccan lavas along the Narmada, Godavari and Cambay basin