CHAPTER II

LATTICE DP(X)

Attaching an algebraic object to a topological space in order to study the later is a well-known procedure. Two examples of such techniques are the use of the rings of continuous functions to study the space X and the use of the semilattice of compactifications of a Tychonoff space X to study the remainder $\beta X - X$ of its Stone-Čech compactification βX . Posets of compactifications of a completely regular Hausdorff space have been extensively studied by various authors including Magill [17], Rayburn [23], Visliseni and Flaksmaier [31], Thrivikraman [29, 30], Kannan [12]. In [22] Porter and Woods have extensively studied the poset IP(X) of covering maps on a fixed domain X, where by a covering map we mean a perfect irreducible continuous surjection. The poset IP(X) turns out to be a complete upper semilattice with the partial order '<' defined by $f \le g$ if there exists a continuous map $h: Rg \to Rf$ such that $h \circ g = f$. Besides obtaining several interesting results, Porter and Woods have partially answered in [22] the question: When IP(X) is a complete lattice?

In an attempt to obtain further results in this direction, we have observed that IP(X) is naturally contained in the poset DP(X) of the density preserving maps. In the first section of this chapter we recall the definition of density preserving maps **[8]** and give some examples. In the second section, we define partial order on the set DP(X) of density preserving maps and prove that DP(X) is a poset with this partial order. In the last section of this chapter we answer the question: When DP(X) is a complete lattice?

Some results of this chapter are being published in the Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 72 (2005).

1. Density Preserving Maps: Definition and Examples.

In this section we recall the definition of a density preserving map and give several examples of such maps. Throughout, our maps are continuous.

Definition 2.1.1. A continuous map f from a topological space X into a topological space Y is called *density preserving* if $IntClf(A) \neq \varphi$, whenever $IntA \neq \varphi$, where A is a subset of X [8].

Examples 2.1.2. (a) An open map is a density preserving map.

2.1.2. (b) An RC-preserving map is a density preserving map. (A continuous map f from a topological space X into a topological space Y is called an *RC-preserving map* if image of a regular closed set in X is a regular closed in Y [7].

2.1.2. (c) A closed irreducible surjection is a density preserving map. In fact, let f from a topological space X into a topological space Y be a closed irreducible surjection and let $A \subseteq X$ be such that $Int A \neq \varphi$. Then the proof for the case A = X follows trivially. Suppose [X - Int A] is a nonempty proper

closed subset of X. Since f is closed and irreducible, f(X - Int A) is a proper closed subset of Y. Observe that the nonempty open set [Y - f(X - Int A)] is contained in f(Int A) and hence $IntClf(A) \neq \varphi$. This proves that f is density preserving. In particular, an irreducible surjection from a compact Hausdorff space to a Hausdorff space is density preserving. **2.1.2.** (d) The map $f:[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x, & 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ (2-2x), & \frac{1}{2} \le x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

is a continuous perfect RC-preserving surjection and hence a density preserving map. Since $f[0, \frac{1}{2}] = [0, 1]$, f is not irreducible and hence is not a covering map.

2.1.2. (e) The restriction of the continuous map f defined in the above example 2.1.2(d) on [0, 1) is a density preserving surjection but is none of the following: RC-preserving, closed, perfect and irreducible.

2.1.2. (f) The map $f:[0,1) \rightarrow S^1$ defined by $f(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ is a bijective continuous density preserving map. In fact f is irreducible. On the other hand f is not RC-preserving as f maps the regular closed set $[\frac{1}{2},1)$ onto a non-regular closed set in S^1 . Also, f is not an open map as the image of the open set $[0,\frac{1}{2})$ under f is not an open subset of S^1 . Since f is not a closed map, it is not a covering map.

2.1.2. (g) The natural inclusion of the usual space of rational numbers into the usual space of real numbers is a density preserving epimorphism.

2.1.2. (h) Let X be a topological space and let K be a closed nowhere dense subset of X. Then the natural quotient map $q: X \to X|_K$, where $X|_K$ is the space obtained by collapsing K to a point, is a density preserving map. Let us see a proof of this fact: Take $A \subset X$ with $Int A \neq \varphi$. Observe that either $Int A \cap K = \varphi$ or $IntA \cap K \neq \varphi$.

Case 1: Suppose Int $A \cap K = \varphi$. Then q(Int A) is an open set in $X|_K$ satisfying $q(Int A) \subseteq Clq(A)$. This proves $Int Clq(A) \neq \varphi$.

Case 2: Suppose $IntA \cap K \neq \varphi$. Since K is a nowhere dense set, $IntA \not\subset K$. Choose x in IntA-K. Observe that we can find an open set $U \subset A$ such that $x \in U$ and $U \cap K = \varphi$; for otherwise x will become a limit point of K and hence x will be in K. Clearly q(U) is an open set in $X|_K$ satisfying $q(U) \subset Clq(A)$ and hence $Int Clq(A) \neq \varphi$.

2. Poset DP(X).

We define here a notion of equivalence on the set of density preserving maps defined on a fixed topological space X. We identify equivalent density preserving maps on a fixed domain X and denote by DP(X), the set of all such equivalent classes of density preserving maps. An order relation \leq is defined on DP(X) such that $(DP(X), \leq)$ becomes a partially ordered set (poset). We also observe behavior of density preserving maps on isolated points. **Definition 2.2.1.** Two density preserving maps f and g defined on a topological space X and range Rf and Rg respectively are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism $h: Rf \rightarrow Rg$ satisfying $h \circ f = g$.

Notation. Two such equivalent maps f and g are denoted by $f \approx g$.

Examples 2.2.2. (a) Let X be a topological space and let $f: X \to Rf$ be a homeomorphism. Then $f \approx I_X$ because the homeomorphism $h: X \to Rf$ defined by h(x) = f(x) satisfies $h \circ I_X = f$. Thus all homeomorphisms on X represent one single member in DP(X). Similarly the family of all constant maps defined on X represents a single member in DP(X).

2.2.2. (b) Consider **R**, the usual space of all real numbers. Define $f: \mathbf{R} \to [0,\infty)$ by $f(x) = x^2$ and $g: \mathbf{R} \to [0,\infty)$ by g(x) = |x|. Clearly both f and g are continuous density preserving maps. Observe that the map $h: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ defined by $h(x) = x^2$ is continuous and $h \circ g = f$. Thus $f \approx g$.

Definition 2.2.3. Let X be a topological space and let f, g be in DP(X), we define an order relation \leq on DP(X) by $g \leq f$ if there exists a continuous map $h: Rf \rightarrow Rg$ satisfying $h \circ f = g$.

23

Examples 2.2.4. (a) Consider I_x and c in DP(X) where I_x represents the class of all homeomorphisms on X and c represents the class of all constant maps on X. Observe that $c \leq I_x$ in DP(X).

2.2.4. (b) Consider the usual space **R** of real numbers and take closed nowhere dense sets $K = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $L = \{1, 2\}$ in **R**. Consider the natural quotient maps $q: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}|_{K}$ and $p: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}|_{L}$ as defined in Example 2.1.2 (h). Then $q \leq p$ because the map $h: Rp \rightarrow Rq$ defined by

$$h(p(x)) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in R - \{1, 2, 3\} \\ q(x), & x \in \{1, 2, 3\} \end{cases}$$

is continuous and satisfies $h \circ p = q$.

2.2.4. (c) Along the lines of above example if we consider an increasing finite chain $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of closed nowhere dense sets in a topological space X and if $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the corresponding family of quotient maps obtained by identifying K_i to a point then $q_n \leq q_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq q_1$ in DP(X).

Theorem 2.2.5. Let *X* be a topological space. Then $(DP(X), \leq)$ is a partially ordered set, where \leq is an order relation as defined in 2.2.3.

Proof. We show that \leq is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive.

' \leq ' is reflexive: Take f in DP(X) and consider the identity map $I_{Rf}: Rf \rightarrow Rf$. Clearly $I_{Rf} \circ f = f$. This proves $f \approx f$, for all f in DP(X).

'≤' is antisymmetric: Let *f*, *g* be in *DP*(*X*) such that $f \le g$ and $g \le f$. Then we need to show $f \approx g$. By Definition 2.2.3 there exist continuous maps *h*: *Rg* → *Rf* and *k*: *Rf* → *Rg* such that $h \circ g = f$ and $k \circ f = g$. The 24 composite maps $h \circ k$ and $k \circ h$ are identity maps on Rf and Rg respectively. This implies that h and k are inverses of each other. Since h and k are bijective continuous maps, both h and k are homeomorphism. This proves $f \approx g$.

'≤' is transitive: Suppose $f \le g$ and $g \le h$. Then there exist continuous maps $k_1 : Rg \to Rf$ and $k_2 : Rh \to Rg$ such that $k_1 \circ g = f$ and $k_2 \circ h = g$. The composite map $k_1 \circ k_2 : Rh \to Rf$ is continuous and

$$(k_1 \circ k_2) \circ h = k_1 \circ (k_2 \circ h) = k_1 \circ g = f.$$

This proves $f \leq h$.

Lemma 2.2.6. Let *X* be a topological space and let *f*, *g* be in DP(X) with $g \le f$. Then the map $h: Rf \rightarrow Rg$ satisfying $h \circ f = g$ is also density preserving.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq Rf$ be such that $Int A \neq \varphi$. Then $f^{-1}(Int A)$ is a nonempty open subset of X. Also, $f^{-1}(Int A) \subseteq f^{-1}(A)$ implies $Intf^{-1}(A) \neq \varphi$. Set $f^{-1}(A) = A^*$. Since g is density preserving, $Int Cl g(A^*) \neq \varphi$. That h is a density preserving map follows from the following fact:

$$\varphi \neq Int Cl g(A^*)$$

= Int Cl (h \circ f)(A^*) = IntCl (h \circ f)(f^{-1}(A))
\$\sum IntClh(A).\$

25

Lemma 2.2.7. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a density preserving map from a topological space X into a T_1 space Y. Then f maps isolated points to isolated points. Proof. Let x be an isolated point in X. If f(x) is not an isolated point of Y then $IntClf(\{x\}) = Intf(\{x\}) = \varphi$. But this is not possible because $f: X \to Y$ is density preserving and $Int\{x\} \neq \varphi$.

LEMMA 2.2.8. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a density preserving map from a topological space X into a T_1 space Y. If Y is without isolated points then fibers $f^{-1}(y), y \in Y$ are closed nowhere dense subsets of the space X.

Proof. Continuity of the map f guarantees that each fiber $f^{-1}(y)$ is a closed subset in the space X. If possible, suppose for some y in Y, $f^{-1}(y)$ is not a nowhere dense set in the space X. Then

Int
$$Clf^{-1}(y) = Intf^{-1}(y) \neq \varphi$$
.

Set $A = f^{-1}(y)$. Note that $IntA \neq \varphi$ but

$$IntClf(A) = IntClf(f^{-1}(y)) = IntCl\{y\} = \varphi$$
,

which contradicts that f is density preserving.

3. Completion of DP(X).

In this section we prove that if X is a compact Hausdorff space without isolated points, then DP(X) is a complete lattice with respect to the partial order defined in Definition 2.2.3. For this we first define dp-partition for

a density preserving map f and then use it to characterize equivalent members in DP(X).

Definition 2.3.1. Let X be a topological space and let $f \in DP(X)$. Then the partition $\wp(f) = \{f^{-1}(y) | y \in Rf\}$ of X is called the *dp-partition* generated by f.

Example 2.3.2. Consider the density preserving map $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$ defined by f(x) = |x|. Then $\wp(f) = \{\{-x,x\} | x \in [0,\infty)\}$ is the dp-partition of \mathbb{R} generated by f.

We recall that a partition P of a set X is said to be refined by a partition P^* of X if for every A in P there exists B in P^* such that $B \subseteq A$. We denote this by $P^* \subseteq P$. On the same lines we define $\wp(g) \subseteq \wp(f)$, where f and g are density preserving maps on a space X and $\wp(f)$ and $\wp(g)$ are the corresponding dp-partitions of X generated by f and grespectively.

Now onwards we assume that members of DP(X) are quotient maps. In case X is compact, this condition is automatically satisfied.

The following lemma relates order on DP(X) with the dp-partition.

Lemma 2.3.3. Let X be a topological space and let $f, g \in DP(X)$. Then $f \leq g$ if and only if $\wp(g) \subseteq \wp(f)$.

Proof. Suppose $f \le g$. Then by Definition 2.2.3 there exists a continuous map $h: Rg \to Rf$ satisfying $h \circ g = f$. Let $y \in Rg$. Then we need to find x in Rf such that $g^{-1}(y) \subseteq f^{-1}(x)$. Observe that we have an x in Rf such that h(y) = x. Set $A = g^{-1}(y) \in \mathcal{O}(g)$. Clearly $A \subseteq (h \circ g)^{-1}(x) = f^{-1}(x)$. This proves $\mathcal{O}(g) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(f)$.

Conversely, suppose $\wp(g) \subseteq \wp(f)$, then for z in Rg we find a unique y in Rf for which $g^{-1}(z) \subseteq f^{-1}(y)$. Define $h: Rg \to Rf$ by h(z) = y. The map h is well defined and $h \circ g = f$. Continuity of h follows from the fact that g is a quotient map and f is continuous. Hence $f \leq g$.

Lemma 2.3.4. Let X be a topological space and let $f, g \in DP(X)$. Then f and g are equivalent if and only if $\wp(f) = \wp(g)$.

Proof. Suppose f is equivalent to g. Then $f \le g$ and $g \le f$. By Lemma 2.3.3, $\wp(g)$ is a refinement of $\wp(f)$ and is refined by $\wp(f)$. Hence $\wp(f) = \wp(g)$.

Conversely, suppose $\wp(f) = \wp(g)$. Then for each $z \in Rg$ take the unique $y \in Rf$ for which $g^{-1}(z) = f^{-1}(y)$ and define $h: Rg \to Rf$ by h(z) = y. Observe that h is bijective. Continuity of h as well as of h^{-1} is proved on the same lines as that of Lemma 2.3.3. Hence h is a homeomorphism and therefore f and g are equivalent. **Theorem 2.3.5.** Let X be a compact Hausdorff space without isolated points. Then the poset DP(X) is a complete upper semi-lattice.

Proof. Let *S* be a non-empty subset of DP(X). Consider the product space $Z = \prod_{f \in S} Rf$ and the natural evaluation map $g: X \to Z$ satisfying $\pi_f(g(p)) = f(p)$, where π_f is the natural f^{th} projection of the product space *Z* onto the space *Rf*. Set T = g(X), $\pi_f' = \pi_f |_T$ and define $g': X \to T$ by g'(p) = g(p), $p \in X$. We complete the proof by showing that g' is least upper bound of *S*.

Observe that $\pi'_f \circ g' = f$ for all f in S implies that $f \leq g'$ for each f in S. This proves that g' is an upper bound for S.

We now prove that g' is the least upper bound of S. Let k be another upper bound for S. Define $h: Rk \to Rg'$ by h(x) = g'(y) where $y \in k^{-1}(x)$. We first observe that the map h is well defined. For $x \in Rk$ if $h(x) = g'(y_1)$ and $h(x) = g'(y_2)$ then $g'(y_1) = g'(y_2)$ because $f \le k$ implies $\wp(k) \subseteq \wp(f)$ for each f in S and hence $y_1, y_2 \in k^{-1}(x) \subseteq f^{-1}(z)$ for some z in Rf implies $f(y_1) = f(y_2)$ for each f in S. By definition of map h it follows that $h \circ k = g'$. The continuity of map h follows as k is a quotient map and g' is continuous. This also proves that g' is the least upper bound for S. Since $S \subseteq DP(X)$ is arbitrary it follows that every nonempty subset of DP(X) has least upper bound. Hence DP(X) is a complete upper semilattice. **Theorem 2.3.6.** Let *X* be a compact Hausdorff space without isolated points. Then DP(X) is a complete lattice.

Proof. Since a constant map onto its image is a density preserving map and any two such maps are equivalent, DP(X) has the minimal element. The required result now follows from Theorem 2.3.5 and the fact that a complete upper semilattice with minimal element is a complete lattice.