

List of Figures and Tables

Chapter-1 Introduction

Figure 1.1:	Qualitative curve of the energy loss of an ion in the material as a function of the ion energy, shown on a log-log scale.	12
Figure 1.2:	Typical effects of ion irradiation which include electronic excitation, phonons, ionizations, ion pair formation, radical formation and chain scission.	15
Figure 1.3:	Polarization in dielectric (macroscopic view).	21
Figure 1.4:	(a) Electric dipole (b) Alignment of a dipole (c) Final dipole alignment with field.	22
Figure 1.5:	Electronic polarization.	24
Figure 1.6:	Polarization mechanisms versus frequency in dielectric material.	26
Figure 1.7:	Dielectric constant vs frequency and temperature A clear cast phenolic resin, B plasticized PVC, E polymethyl methacrylate, F, polychlorotrifluoroethane, G nylon, H neoprene, I plasticized ethylcellulose, L polyurethane foam.	29
Figure 1.8:	Dielectric tangent vs frequency and temperature A clear cast phenolic resin, B plasticized PVC, C polyvinyl chloride, D unplasticized PVC, E polymethyl methacrylate, F, polychlorotrifluoroethane, G nylon, H neoprene, I plasticized ethylcellulose, J epoxy cast resin, K silicone rubber, L polyurethane foam, M polystyrene.	30

Chapter-2

Figure 2.1:	Schematic diagram of IUAC Pelletron accelerator.	53
Figure 2.2:	Schematic diagram of beam lines at IUAC Pelletron facility.	54
Figure 2.3:	Material science irradiation chamber at IUAC Pelletron facility.	55
Figure 2.4:	Picture of Two roll mill.	58
Figure 2.5:	Sample Holder to measure electrical properties.	72

Figure 2.6:	Vickers' Projection Microscope.	75
Figure 2.7.	Concept of AFM and the optical lever: (left) a cantilever touching a sample; (right) the optical lever. Scale drawing; the tube scanner measures 24 mm in diameter, while the cantilever is 100 μm long	79
Figure 2.8:	The AFM feedback loop. A compensation network (which in my AFM is a computer program) monitors the cantilever deflection and keeps it constant by adjusting the height of the sample (or cantilever).	80
Figure 2.9:	Schematic representation of a SEM.	82
Figure 2.10	Schematic of electronic shells.	84
Figure 2.11:	FTIR Spectroscopy set up.	89
Figure 2.12:	Sketch diagram of DSC measurement.	92
Figure 2.13	Shows the block diagram of Mossbauer Spectrometer.	96
Figure 2.14.	Decay Scheme of Co^{57} .	96

Chapter-3

Figure 3.1(a):	AC conductivity versus frequency for pristine pure and dispersed ferric oxalate in PMMA films.	105
Figure 3.1(b).	AC conductivity versus frequency for irradiated pure and dispersed ferric oxalate in PMMA films	105
Figure 3.2(a):	Dielectric constant versus frequency for pristine pure and dispersed ferric oxalate in PMMA films.	106
Figure 3.2(b):	Dielectric constant versus frequency for irradiated pure and dispersed ferric oxalate in PMMA films.	106
Figure 3.3(a):	Dielectric loss versus frequency for pristine pure and dispersed ferric oxalate in PMMA films.	107
Figure 3.3(b):	Dielectric loss versus frequency for irradiated pure and dispersed ferric oxalate in PMMA films.	107
Figure 3.4(a):	Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for pristine pure and dispersed ferric oxalate in PMMA films.	109
Figure 3.4(b):	Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for irradiated pure and dispersed ferric oxalate in PMMA films.	109

Figure 3.5 (a): AFM image of pure PMMA film (pristine) (b) dispersed ferric oxalate (10%) in PMMA film (pristine) (c) dispersed ferric oxalate (15%) in PMMA film (pristine) (d) pure PMMA film (irradiated) (e) dispersed ferric oxalate (10%) in PMMA film (irradiated) (f) dispersed ferric oxalate (15%) in PMMA film (irradiated).	110-111
Figure 3.6(a): Mossbauer spectra of dispersed ferric oxalate (10%) in PMMA film (pristine).	113
Figure 3.7(a): AC conductivity versus frequency for pristine pure and dispersed ferric oxalate in PVC films.	116
Figure 3.7(b): AC conductivity versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ²) pure and dispersed ferric oxalate compound in PVC films.	116
Figure 3.7(c): AC conductivity versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²) pure and dispersed ferric oxalate compound in PVC films.	117
Figure 3.8(a): Plot of dielectric constant versus frequency for pristine pure and dispersed ferric oxalate compound in PVC films.	118
Figure 3.8(b): Plot of dielectric constant versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ²) pure and ferric oxalate dispersed compound in PVC films.	118
Figure 3.8(c): Plot of dielectric constant versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²) pure and ferric oxalate dispersed compound in PVC films.	119
Figure 3.9(a): Plot of dielectric loss versus frequency for pristine pure and dispersed ferric oxalate compound in PVC films.	121
Figure 3.9(b): Plot of dielectric loss versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ²) pure and ferric oxalate dispersed compound in PVC films.	121
Figure 3.9(c): Plot of dielectric loss versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²) pure and ferric oxalate dispersed compound in PVC films.	122

- Figure 3.10(a): Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for pristine pure and dispersed ferric oxalate compound in PVC films. 123
- Figure 3.10(b): Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm²) pure and dispersed ferric oxalate compound in PVC films. 124
- Figure 3.10(c): Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm²) pure and dispersed ferric oxalate compound in PVC films. 124
- Figure 3.11(a): AFM image of pure PVC film (pristine) (b) dispersed ferric oxalate (5%) in PVC film (pristine) (c) dispersed ferric oxalate (15%) in PVC film (pristine) (d) AFM image of pure PVC film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm²) (e) dispersed ferric oxalate (5%) in PVC film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm²) (f) AFM image of dispersed ferric oxalate (15%) in PVC film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm²) (g) AFM image of pure PVC film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm²) (h) AFM image of dispersed ferric oxalate (5%) in PVC film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm²) (i) AFM image of dispersed ferric oxalate (15%) in PVC film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm²). 126-128
- Figure 3.12(a): SEM micrograph of dispersed ferric oxalate (15%) in PVC film (pristine). 129
- Figure 3.12(b): SEM micrograph of dispersed ferric oxalate (15%) in PVC film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm²). 129
- Figure 3.12(c): SEM micrograph of dispersed ferric oxalate (15%) in PVC film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm²). 129
- Figure 3.13(a): AC conductivity versus frequency for pristine pure and dispersed Ni-DMG compound in PMMA films. 132
- Figure 3.13(b): AC conductivity versus frequency for irradiated pure and dispersed Ni-DMG compound in PMMA films. 132
- Figure 3.14(a): Dielectric constant versus frequency for pristine pure and dispersed Ni-DMG compound in PMMA films. 134

Figure 3.14(b): Dielectric constant versus frequency for irradiated pure and dispersed Ni-DMG compound in PMMA films.	134
Figure 3.15(a): Dielectric loss versus frequency for pristine pure and dispersed Ni-DMG compound in PMMA films.	135
Figure 3.15(b): Plot of dielectric loss versus frequency for irradiated pure and dispersed Ni-DMG compound in PMMA films.	136
Figure 3.16(a): Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for pristine pure and dispersed Ni-DMG compound in PMMA films.	137
Figure 3.16(b): Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for irradiated pure and dispersed Ni-DMG compound in PMMA films.	138
Figure 3.17(a): AFM image of pure PMMA film (pristine) (b) dispersed Ni-DMG compound (10%) in PMMA film (pristine) (c) dispersed Ni-DMG compound (15%) in PMMA film (pristine) (d) pure PMMA film (irradiated) (e) dispersed Ni-DMG compound (10%) in PMMA film (irradiated) (f) dispersed Ni-DMG compound (15%) in PMMA film (irradiated).	139-140

Chapter-4

Figure 4.1(a): AC conductivity versus frequency for pristine pure and dispersed Ni-DMG in PMMA films.	146
Figure 4.1(b): AC conductivity versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ²) pure and dispersed Ni-DMG in PMMA films.	147
Figure 4.1(c): AC conductivity versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²) pure and dispersed Ni-DMG in PMMA films.	147
Figure 4.2(a): Dielectric constant versus frequency for pristine pure dispersed Ni-DMG in PMMA films.	150
Figure 4.2(b): Dielectric constant versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ²) pure and dispersed Ni-DMG in PMMA films.	150
Figure 4.2(c): Dielectric constant versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²) pure and dispersed Ni-DMG in PMMA films.	151

Figure 4.3(a): Dielectric loss versus frequency for pristine pure and dispersed Ni-DMG in PMMA films.	151
Figure 4.3(b): Dielectric loss versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ²) pure and dispersed Ni-DMG in PMMA films.	152
Figure 4.3(c): Dielectric loss versus frequency for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²) pure and dispersed Ni-DMG in PMMA films.	152
Figure 4.4(a): Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for pristine pure and dispersed Ni-DMG compound in PMMA films.	154
Figure 4.4(b): Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ²) pure and dispersed Ni-DMG compound in PMMA films.	154
Figure 4.4(c): Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for irradiated (at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²) pure and dispersed Ni-DMG compound in PMMA films.	155
Figure 4.5: XRD diffraction pattern for Ni-DMG compound.	157
Figure 4.6(a): XRD diffraction pattern for pure PMMA film (Pristine).	158
Figure 4.6(b): XRD diffraction pattern for pure PMMA film (at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ²).	158
Figure 4.6(c): XRD diffraction pattern for pure PMMA film (at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²).	159
Figure 4.7(a): XRD diffraction pattern for 5% Ni-DMG compound dispersed in PMMA film (Pristine).	159
Figure 4.7(b): XRD diffraction pattern for 5% Ni-DMG compound dispersed in PMMA film for irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ² .	160
Figure 4.7(c): XRD diffraction pattern for 5% Ni-DMG compound dispersed in PMMA film for irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² .	160
Figure 4.8(a): XRD diffraction pattern for 20% Ni-DMG compound dispersed in PMMA film (Pristine).	161
Figure 4.8(b): XRD diffraction pattern for 20% Ni-DMG compound dispersed in PMMA film for irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ² .	161

Figure 4.8(c): XRD diffraction pattern for 20% Ni- DMG compound dispersed in PMMA film for irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² .	162
Figure 4.9(a): XRD diffraction pattern for 40% Ni- DMG compound dispersed in PMMA film (Pristine).	162
Figure 4.9 (b): XRD diffraction pattern for 40% Ni- DMG compound dispersed in PMMA films for irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ² .	163
Figure 4.9(c): XRD diffraction pattern for 40% Ni- DMG compound dispersed in PMMA film for irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ² .	163
Figure 4.10(a): AFM image of pure PMMA film (pristine) (b) dispersed Ni-DMG (40%) in PMMA film (pristine) (c) pure PMMA film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²) (d) dispersed Ni-DMG (40%) in PMMA film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²).	167
Figure 4 11(a) SEM micrograph of pure PMMA film (pristine) (b) dispersed Ni-DMG (5%) in PMMA film (pristine) (c) dispersed Ni-DMG (40%) in PMMA film (pristine) (d) pure PMMA film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ²) (e) dispersed Ni-DMG (5%) in PMMA film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ²) (f) dispersed Ni-DMG (40%) in PMMA film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{11} ions/cm ²) (g) pure PMMA film (irradiated at the fluence of $x \times 10^{12}$ ions/cm ²) (h) dispersed Ni-DMG (5%) in PMMA film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²) (i) SEM micrograph of dispersed Ni-DMG (40%) in PMMA film (irradiated at the fluence of 1×10^{12} ions/cm ²).	168-170

Chapter-5

Figure 5.1: FTIR spectra of pristine and irradiated polyimide films.	179
Figure 5.2: Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for pristine and irradiated polyimide films.	180
Figure 5.3: Conductivity versus frequency for pristine and irradiated polyimide films.	183
Figure 5.4: Plot of dielectric loss versus frequency for pristine and irradiated polyimide films.	183

Figure 5.5:	Plot of dielectric constant versus frequency for pristine and irradiated polyimide films.	184
Figure 5.6:	TGA thermograms of pristine and irradiated polyimide films.	185
Figure 5.7:	DSC thermograms of pristine and irradiated PI films.	186
Figure 5.8:	FTIR spectra of pristine and irradiated PC films.	189
Figure 5.9:	Plot of hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for pristine and irradiated PC films.	192
Fig 5.10:	AC conductivity versus frequency for pristine and irradiated PC films.	192
Figure 5.11:	Variation of $\tan \delta$ with frequency for pristine and irradiated PC films.	193
Fig 5.12:	Plot of dielectric constant versus frequency for pristine and irradiated PC films.	193
Figure 5.13:	TGA thermograms of pristine and irradiated PC films.	194
Figure 5.14:	DSC thermograms of pristine and irradiated PC films.	195
Figure 5.15:	FTIR spectra of pristine and irradiated PES samples.	200
Figure 5.16:	Hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for pristine and irradiated PES samples.	201
Figure 5.17(a):	AC conductivity versus frequency for pristine and irradiated PES samples.	202
Figure 5.17(b):	Dielectric loss versus frequency for pristine and irradiated samples.	203
Figure 5.17(c):	Dielectric constant versus frequency for pristine and irradiate PES samples.	204
Figure 5.18:	TGA thermograms of pristine and irradiated PES samples.	206
Figure 5.19	$\ln [\ln(m_0/m)]$ versus $1000/T$ (K^{-1}) for the pristine and irradiated PES samples.	206
Figure 5.20:	DSC thermograms of pristine and irradiated PES samples.	207
Figure 5.21:	The FTIR spectra of pristine and irradiated blend samples.	211
Figure 5.22:	Hardness (H_v) versus applied load (P) for pristine and irradiated samples.	212

Figure 5.23(a). AC conductivity versus frequency for pristine and irradiated samples.	215
Figure 5.23(b). Dielectric loss versus frequency for pristine and irradiated samples.	215
Figure 5.23(c): Dielectric constant versus frequency for pristine and irradiate samples.	216
Figure 5.24: TGA thermograms of pristine and irradiated samples	217
Figure 5.25: $\ln [m_0/m]$ versus $1000/T$ (K^{-1}) for the pristine and irradiated blend samples.	218
Figure 5.26: DSC thermograms of pristine and irradiated samples	219

List of Tables

Chapter-2

Table 2.1: Thickness of the polymers	59
Table 2.2: Details of the irradiation of the polymers.	60

Chapter-3

Table-3.1: Mossbauer parameter of dispersed ferric oxalate (10%) in PMMA film.	113
--	-----

Chapter-4

Table-4.1: XRD parameters for Ni-DMG compound.	164
Table-4.2: XRD parameters for pristine and irradiated 5% Ni-DMG compound dispersed PMMA films.	164
Table-4.3: XRD parameters for pristine and irradiated 20% Ni-DMG compound dispersed PMMA films.	165
Table-4.4: XRD parameters for pristine and irradiated 40% Ni-DMG compound dispersed PMMA films	165