

## LIST OF PHOTO PLATES

Plate 1:	Legume seed germination in aqueous decoction of different medicinal plants	43
Plate -2	Green gram seed germination in – Control(a& b) and with treatments – <i>Artemisia</i> (c) , <i>Tridax</i> (d), <i>Swertia</i> (e) leaf mulch	71
Plate 3	Weed seed germination in- a) control, c-d) with leaf mulch,e) (inset picture) weed seedling.	90
Plate 4	Pot Experiments	153
Plate 5	Impact of <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch treatment on Green Gram growth (Pot Study – Phase 2)	160
Plate 6	Impact of <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch treatment on Green Gram growth (Pot Study – Phase 2)	161
Plate 7	Plot arrangement and Green gram Growth in Experiment -1	167
Plate 8	Green gram Growth in each plot - Random sampling of 10 plants from each plot	168
Plate 9	Green Gram plantation in different rows	180
Plate 10	Nodules on primary root of Green Gram at 40DAS	183
Plate 11	Green Gram Pod and seed at 80DAS	188
Plate 12	Flowering and Fruiting at 80DAS	189
Plate 13	<i>Parthenium</i> in early Vegetative growth, Green gram at fruiting stage	190
Plate 14	Presence of weeds in between Green gram rows during fruiting stage	192
Plate 15	Comparative peak densitogram of Green gram protein ( Electrophoresis- 80 DAS)	198
Plate 16	Protein bands using Gel electrophoresis (Experiment 2, 80 DAS)	199

## LIST OF TABLES

Table -3.1	Germination parameters of legume seeds with Treatment of <i>Artemisia annua</i> L. aqueous decoction.	46
Table -3.2	Germination parameters of legume seeds with Treatment of <i>Tridax procumbens</i> L. aqueous decoction.	51
Table -3.3:	Germination parameters of legumes seeds with treatment of <i>Tephrosia purpurea</i> L.(Pers.) aqueous decoction.	56
Table -3.4	Germination parameters of legume seeds with treatment of <i>Ocimum sanctum</i> L.aqueous decoction	60
Table -3.5	Germination parameters of legume seeds with treatment of <i>Swertia chirata</i> (Wall.) C. B. Clarke. aqueous decoction	64
Table :3.6	Germination parameters of Green gram against treatment with <i>Artemisia</i> (0.5%-5%) using sandwich method	72
Table 3.7	Germination parameters of green gram against treatment with <i>Tridax</i>	75
Table: 3.8	Germination parameters of green gram against treatment with <i>Swertia</i>	79
Table 3.9	Comparative study between control and treated GG radicle with <i>Artemisia</i> at 366nm AS for Terpenoids	84
Table 3.10	Comparative data of Peak, R <sub>f</sub> value and Area at 366nm AS for Terpenoids ( <i>Artemisia</i> leaf mulch, Control and Treated Green Gram Hypocotyl)	99
Table 3.11	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Artemisia</i> – Phenolics – FB 540nm chromatogram for Green gram Radicle with control and treatment	102
Table 3.12	: Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Artemisia</i> – Phenolics – FB 540nm chromatogram for Green gram <i>Hypocotyl</i> with control and treatment	106
Table 3.13	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Artemisia</i> – Flavanoid– NP 366nm chromatogram for Green gram Radicle with control and treatment	111
Table 3.14	: Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Artemisia</i> – Flavanoid –NP 366nm chromatogram for Green gram <i>Hypocotyl</i> with control and treatment	112
Table 3.15	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Swertia</i> – Terpenoid – 366nm AS chromatogram for Green gram Radicle with control and treatment	117
Table 3.16	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Swertia</i> – Terpenoid – 366nm AS chromatogram for Green gram <i>Hypocotyl</i> with	121

	control and treatment	
Table 3.17	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Swertia</i> – Phenolics – FB 540nm chromatogram for Green gram Radicle with control and treatment	125
Table 3.18	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Swertia</i> – Phenolics – FB 540nm chromatogram for Green gram <i>Hypocotyl</i>	129
Table 3.19	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Swertia</i> – Flavanoid– NP 366nm chromatogram for Green gram Radicle with control and treatment	132
Table 3.20	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Swertia</i> – Flavanoid – NP 366nm chromatogram for Green gram <i>Hypocotyl</i> with control and treatment	132
Table 3.21	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Tridax</i> – Terpenoid – 366nm AS chromatogram for Green gram Radicle with control and treatment	137
Table 3.22	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Tridax</i> – Terpenoid – 366nm AS chromatogram for Green gram <i>Hypocotyl</i> with control and treatment	137
Table 3.23	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Tridax</i> – Phenolics – FB 540nm chromatogram for Green gram Radicle with control and treatment	143
Table 3.24	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Tridax</i> – Phenolics – FB 540nm chromatogram for Green gram <i>Hypocotyl</i>	145
Table 3.25	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Tridax</i> – Flavanoid– NP 366nm chromatogram for Green gram Radicle with control and treatment	148
Table 3.26	Comparative Peak list and R <sub>f</sub> value of the <i>Tridax</i> – Flavanoid – NP 366nm chromatogram for Green gram <i>Hypocotyl</i> with control and treatment	148
Table 3.27	Comparative study of <i>Chloris</i> with <i>Tridax</i>	152
Table 3.28	Presence of weeds along with Parthenium in Untreated (1-4) and Treated plots (5-8) ( Experiment 1-40 DAS)	172

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Shade Dried <i>Tridax</i> leaves separated from stem.	26
Figure 2.2	Flow Chart for Experiments conducted in the study.	27
Figure 2.3	Glass Petriplate of 20cm used for sandwich method	31
Figure 2.4	Application of extracts on TLC plate.( <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Swertia</i> , <i>Tridax</i> leaf)	34
Figure 2.5	Schematic Diagram for Experiment 1 showing application in Field Trial.	37
Figure 2.6	Schematic Diagram for Experiment 2 showing application in Field Trial.	38
	CHAPTER 3	
Figure 3.1	: Germination (%)of legume seeds with Treatment of <i>Artemisia annua</i> L. aqueous decoction.	44
Figure 3.2	Root length (a) and Shoot length (b) of legume seeds with Treatment of <i>Artemisia annua</i> L. aqueous decoction.	47-48
Figure 3.3	Seed Vigour Index (SVI)(a) and Coefficient of Allometry (b) of legume seeds with Treatment of <i>Artemisia annua</i> L. aqueous decoction.	49
Figure 3.4	Germination (%) of Legume seeds with Treatment of <i>Tridaxprocumbens</i> L. aqueous decoction.	50
Figure 3.5	Root length (a) and Shoot length (b) of legume seeds with treatment of <i>Tridax</i> leaf aqueous decoction	52-53
Figure 3.6	Seed Vigour Index (SVI) (a) and Coefficient of Allometry (b) of legume seeds with treatment of <i>Tridax</i> leaf aqueous decoction	54
Figure 3.7	Germination (%) of Legume seeds with Treatment of <i>Tephrosia purpurea</i> L. aqueous decoction.	55
Figure 3.8	Root length (a) and Shoot length (b) measurement of legume seeds with treatment of <i>Tephrosia</i> leaf aqueous decoction	57
Figure 3.9	: Seed Vigour Index (SVI) (a) and Coefficient of Allometry	58

	(b) of legume seeds with treatment of <i>Tephrosia</i> leaf aqueous decoction	
Figure 3.10	Germination (%) of Selected Legumes seeds with Treatment of <i>Ocimum sanctum</i> L. aqueous decoction	59
Figure 3.11	Root length (a) and Shoot length (b) of legume seeds with treatment of <i>Ocimum</i> leaf aqueous decoction	61
Figure 3.12	Seed Vigour Index (SVI) (a) and Coefficient of Allometry (b) of legume seeds with three concentration using <i>Ocimum</i> leaf aqueous decoction	62
Figure-3.13	Germination Percentage (%) of Legume seeds with Treatment of <i>Swertia chirata</i> (Wall.) C. B. Clarke. aqueous decoction	63
Figure 3.14	Root length (a) and Shoot length (b) of legume seeds with treatment of <i>Swertia</i> leaf aqueous decoction	66
Figure 3.15	Seed Vigour Index (SVI) (a) and Coefficient of Allometry (b) of legume seeds with all concentrations using <i>Swertia leaf</i> aqueous decoction	67
Figure 3.16 (a-e)	Germination Percentage of weeds with treatment of 1% aqueous decoction of medicinal plants.	68
Figure 3.17	Seedling Length measurements of weeds using 1% aqueous decoction of five medicinal plants.	69
Figure 3.18	Seed Vigour Index (SVI) of weeds using 1% aqueous decoction of selected medicinal plants.	70
Figure 3.19	(a) inverse relation of uncertainty (U) and Synchronisation (Z),(b) inverse relation of Means Germination time (MGT) and Mean Germination rate(MGR) for treated seeds of Green gram.	72
Figure 3.20	Seedling length Analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Artemisia</i>	73

Figure 3.21	SVI (Seed vigour index) Analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Artemisia</i>	73
Figure 3.22	CoA(Coefficient of Allometry) Analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Artemisia</i>	74
Figure 3.23	Biomass analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Artemisia</i>	74
Figure 3.24	GGI (Grade of Growth inhibition) Analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Artemisia</i>	75
Figure 3.25	(a) inverse relation of uncertainty (U) and Synchronisation (Z),(b) inverse relation of Means Germination time (MGT) and Mean Germination rate(MGR) for treated seeds of Green gram.	76
Figure.3.26	Seedling length Analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Tridax</i>	76
Figure 3.27	SVI (Seed vigour index) Analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Tridax</i>	77
Figure 3.28	CoA( Coefficient of Allometry) analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Tridax</i>	77
Figure 3.29	Biomass Analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Tridax</i>	78
Figure 3.30	GGI(Grade of Growth Inhibition) analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Tridax</i>	79
Figure 3.31	(a) inverse relation of uncertainty (U) and Synchronisation (Z),(b) inverse relation of Means Germination time (MGT) and Mean Germination rate(MGR) for treated seeds of Green gram.	80
Figure 3.32	Seedling length Analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Swertia</i>	80
Figure 3.33	SVI (Seed vigour index) Analysis of green gram against	81

	treatment with <i>Swertia</i>	
Figure 3.34	CoA( Co efficient of Allemetry) analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Swertia</i>	81
Figure 3.35	Biomass Analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Swertia</i>	82
Figure 3.36	GGI( Grade of Growth Inhibition) Analysis of green gram against treatment with <i>Swertia</i>	82
Figure 3.37	Germination (%) (G)and coefficient of velocity (CVt) for green gram Analysis using <i>Artemisia, Swertia and Tridax</i>	83
Figure 3.38	Germination parameters (MT- Mean Time,MR – Mean Rate, U – Uncertainty, Z – Synchronity) of green gram Analysis using <i>Artemisia, Swertia and Tridax</i>	84
Figure 3.39	Seedling length of green gram Analysis using <i>Artemisia, Swertia and Tridax</i>	84
Figure 3.40	SVI (Seed vigour Index) of green gram Analysis using <i>Artemisia, Swertia and Tridax</i> (0.2-0.8% concentration) with sandwich method	85
Figure 3.41	CoA( Coefficient of Allometry) of green gram Analysis using <i>Artemisia, Swertia and Tridax</i>	86
Figure 3.42	Root biomass (Fresh weight (F.W.) and Dry Weight (D.W.) of green gram Analysis using <i>Artemisia, Swertia and Tridax</i>	86
Figure 3.43	Shoot biomass (Fresh weight (F.W.) and Dry Weight (D.W.) of green gram using <i>Artemisia, Swertia and Tridax</i>	87
Figure 3.44	Total Biomass Analysis of green gram using <i>Artemisia, Swertia and Tridax</i>	88
Figure 3.45	GGI (Grade of Growth Inhibition) analysis of Green	88

	gram using <i>Artemisia</i> , <i>Swertia</i> and <i>Tridax</i>	
Figure 3.46	Effect of a) <i>Artemisia</i> b) <i>Swertia</i> and c) <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch on <i>Parthenium</i> and <i>Chloris</i> seed germination	89
Figure 3.47	3D display of spectral data for Terpenoid compound in green gram with <i>Artemisia</i> leaf mulch	92
Figure 3.48	TLC plate of <i>Artemisia</i> Terpenoid	92
Figure 3.49	Peak densitogram of Terpenoid compounds using <i>Artemisia</i> leaf mulch with Green Gram Radicle	93
Figure 3.50	: Comparative Peak densitogram of Terpenoid compounds using <i>Artemisia</i> leaf mulch with Green Gram Hypocotyl.	93
Figure 3.51	3D display of spectral data of Green Gram at FB 540nm Phenolics	100
Figure 3.52	TLC plate of <i>Artemisia</i> Phenolic	100
Figure 3.53	Peak densitogram of Phenolic compounds in Green gram Radicle with <i>Artemisia</i> leaf mulch	101
Figure 3.54	Peak densitogram of Phenolic compounds in Green gram Hypocotyl with <i>Artemisia</i> leaf	101
Figure 3.55	3D display of spectral data at NP 366nm Flavonoid	108
Figure 3.56	TLC plate of <i>Artemisia</i> Flavonoid	109
Figure 3.57	Peak densitogram of Flavanoid compounds in methanolic extract of <i>Artemisia</i> leaf, control and treated Radicle of Green gram (10µl and 15µl) at NP 366nm by HPTLC	110
Figure 3.58	Peak densitogram of Flavanoid compound in methanolic extract of <i>Artemisia</i> leaf, control and treated <i>Hypocotyl</i> of green gram (10µl and 15µl) at FB 540nm by HPTLC	110
Figure 3.59	3D display of spectral data at 366 nm AS for Terpenoids with <i>Swertia</i>	114
Figure 3.60	TLC plate of <i>Swertia</i> Terpenoid	115
Figure 3.61	Peak densitogram of Terpenoid compound in methanolic extract of <i>Swertia</i> leaf, control and treated Radicle of Green gram (10µl and 15µl) at 366nm AS by HPTLC	115
Figure 3.62	Peak densitogram of Terpenoid compound in methanolic extract of <i>Swertia</i> leaf, control and treated	116



	<i>Hypocotyl</i> of green gram (10µl and 15µl )at 366nm AS by HPTLC	
Figure 3.63	3D display of spectral data at FB 540nm Phenolics	123
Figure 3.64	TLC plate of <i>Swertia</i> Phenolic	123
Figure 3.65	Peak densitogram of Phenolic compounds in methanolic extract of <i>Swertia</i> leaf, control and treated Radicle of Green gram (10µl and 15µl) at FB 540nm by HPTLC	124
Figure 3.66	Peak densitogram of Phenolic compound in methanolic extract of <i>Swertia</i> leaf, control and treated <i>Hypocotyl</i> of green gram (10µl and 15µl ) at FB 540nm by HPTLC	124
Figure 3.67	3D display of spectral data at NP 366nm Flavonoid	130
Figure 3.68	TLC plate of <i>Swertia</i> Flavonoid	130
Figure 3.69	Peak densitogram of Flavonoid compounds in methanolic extract of <i>Swertia</i> leaf, control and treated Radicle of Green gram (10µl and 15µl) at NP 366nm by HPTLC	131
Figure 3.70	Peak densitogram of Flavonoid compound in methanolic extract of <i>Swertia</i> leaf, control and treated <i>Hypocotyl</i> of green gram (10µl and 15µl ) at FB 540nm by HPTLC	131
Figure 3.71	3D display of spectral data at 366 nm AS for Terpenoids	135
Figure 3.72	TLC plate of <i>Tridax</i> Terpenoid	135
Figure 3.73	Peak densitogram of Terpenoid compound in methanolic extract of <i>Tridax</i> leaf, control and treated Radicle of Green gram (10µl and 15µl )at 366nm AS by HPTLC	136
Figure 3.74	Peak densitogram of Terpenoid compound in methanolic extract of <i>Tridax</i> leaf, control and treated <i>Hypocotyl</i> of green gram (10µl and 15µl )at 366nm AS by HPTLC	136
Figure 3.75	3D display of spectral data at FB 540nm Phenolics	140
Figure 3.76	TLC plate of <i>Tridax</i> Phenolic	141
Figure 3.77	Peak densitogram of Phenolic compounds in methanolic extract of <i>Tridax</i> leaf, control and treated Radicle of Green gram (10µl and 15µl) at FB 540nm by HPTLC	142
Figure 3.78	Peak densitogram of Phenolic compound in methanolic extract of <i>Tridax</i> leaf, control and treated <i>Hypocotyl</i> of green gram (10µl and 15µl ) at FB 540nm by HPTLC	142
Figure 3.79	3D display of spectral data at NP 366nm Flavonoid	146
Figure 3.80	TLC plate of <i>Tridax</i> Flavonoid	146

Figure 3.81	Peak densitogram of Flavanoid compounds in methanolic extract of <i>Tridax</i> leaf, control and treated Radicle of Green gram (10µl and 15µl) at NP 366nm by HPTLC	147
Figure 3.82	Peak densitogram of Flavanoid compound in methanolic extract of <i>Tridax</i> leaf, control and treated <i>Hypocotyl</i> of green gram (10µl and 15µl ) at FB 540nm by HPTLC	147
Figure 3.83	3D Chromatogram of <i>Chloris</i> with <i>Tridax</i> treatment. a. <i>Tridax</i> Leaf Mulch	150
Figure 3.84	Peak Densitogram of <i>Chloris</i> a-b : <i>Tridax</i> Leaf mulch at 10µl and 15µl,c-d : Control of <i>Chloris</i> , e-f: Treated <i>Chloris</i> at 254nmble 3. Comaprative study of <i>Chloris</i> with <i>Tridax</i>	151
Figure 3.85	Germination (%) of Green Gram seeds with treatment of <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch (pot study- Phase 1)	154
Figure 3.86	Seedling growth of Green Gram seeds with treatment of <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch (Pot study – Phase 1)	154
Figure 3.87	SVI (Seed Vigour index) and b) CoA (Coefficient of Allometry) of Green Gram with treatment of <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch (Pot Study – Phase 1)	155-156
Figure 3.88	Root biomass of Green Gram plants with treatment of <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> (Pot Study- Phase 1)	156
Figure 3.89	Shoot biomass of Green Gram plants with treatment of <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> (Pot Study- Phase 1).	157
Figure 3.90	GGI (Grade of Growth Inhibition) in Green Gram with <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch (Pot Study-Phase 1)	158
Figure 3.91	Germination (%) of Green Gram seeds with <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch Treatments. (Pot Study – Phase 2)	159
Figure 3.92	Seedling growth of Green Gram with <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch ( Pot stydy – Phase 2)	162
Figure 3.93	SVI ( Seed Vigour Index) of Green Gram with <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch (Pot Study – Phase 2)	163
Figure 3.94	CoA (Coefficient of Allometry) of Green Gram with <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch (Pot Study – Phase 2)	163
Figure 3.95	Root Biomass of Green Gram with <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch (Pot Study – Phase 2)	164
Figure 3.96	Shoot Biomass of Green Gram with <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch ( Pot study – Phase 2)	164
Figure 3.97	GGI (Grade of Growth Inhibition) of Green Gram using <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> leaf mulch (Pot study – Phase 2)	165

Figure 3.98	Chlorophyll study of green gram leaf at 30DAS with <i>Artemisia</i> and <i>Tridax</i> treatment. (Pot study – Phase 2)	166
Figure 3.99	Biomass Accumulation in Green gram ( Experiment 1-20 DAS)	169
Figure 3.100	Biomass accumulation in Green gram (Experiment 1-40 DAS)	170
Figure 3.101	(a) and (b) Different Growth Parameters of Green gram ( Experiment 1-40 DAS)	171
Figure 3.102	WCE (%) (Weed control efficiency) of treated plants- ( Experiment 1-40 DAS)	173
Figure 3.103	Chlorophyll estimation of Green Gram leaf at Vegetative Stage -2 – ( Experiment 1-40 DAS	174
Figure 3.104	Biomass accumulations in green gram Flowering stage. (Experiment 1-60 DAS)	175
Figure 3.105	Number of <i>Parthenium</i> and WCE (%)plant emergent in each plot.	175
Figure 3.106	Monocot weed population in experimental plots ( Experiment 1-60 DAS)	176
Figure 3.107	Chlorophyll estimation of Parthenium (Experiment - 80 DAS)	177
Figure 3.108	Growth parameters of Flowers and Fruits at 80 DAS	177
Figure 3.109	Biomass of Pod and number of seeds/ pod	178
Figure 3.110	Protein Content (mg/g) in Green Gram seed from Treated and Untreated Plots.	179
Figure 3.111	Growth parameters (Experiment 2-20 DAS)	180
Figure 3.112	Biomass accumulation in Green gram at vegetative stage (Experiment 2- 20 DAS )	180
Figure 3.113	Growth parameters in green gram at Vegetative stages ( Experiment 2- 40DAS)	181
Figure 3.114	Biomass accumulation in Green gram at vegetative stage -2 (40 DAS)	184
Figure 3.115	Chlorophyll estimation at 40 DAS with <i>Artemisia</i> treatment	184

Figure 3.116	Number of Weeds and WCE(%) of <i>Artemisia</i> treatment at 40 DAS	185
Figure 3.117	Monocot weeds in treated and untreated plots with <i>Artemisia</i>	185
Figure 3.118	Growth and Yield parameters at 80 DAS with <i>Artemisia</i> treatment	186
Figure 3.119	Biomass accumulations at seed setting stage – 80 DAS	186
Figure 3.120	Weed growth at 80 DAS with <i>Artemisia</i> treatment	191
Figure 3.121	Chlorophyll analysis of <i>Parthenium</i> Untreated and treated plots at 80 DAS.	194
Figure 3.122	Plant growths at 80 DAS with treatment of <i>Artemisia</i> leaf mulch	194
Figure 3.123	Length of Pod and number of seeds per pod	195
Figure 3.124	Biomass of Green Gram Fruit/ Pod in Untreated and Treated plots	195
Figure 3.125	Weed growth at 80 DAS	196
Figure 3.126	Comparative Protein content (mg/g) of Green gram seeds for untreated and treated plant seeds with <i>Artemisia</i>	197