CHAPTER

•

.



THE AUTHORS: ' SANKHA AND LIKHITA:

The authors of Dharmasūtras are considered to be traditional and hence it becomes very difficult to know about their lives. Dr.A.A.Macdonell says that 'History is a weak point in Sanskrit Literature "- history of Śańkha and Likhita being no exception to it.The following are the sources where we find references to them.

Tantravārtika¹ categorically states that Dharmasūtra of Śańkha-Likhita was studied by Vājajsaneyins, the followers of the śukla Yajurveda, Pathak Sridhar Shastri also mentions the same opinion.²

The Parā śara Smṛti³ gives the information about the highest authorities of Dharmasūtras of four different Yugas. In Kṛta, Manu; in Tretā, Gautama; in Dwāpara, Śankha-Likhita and in Kali, Parāśara Smṛtis were the authorities.

According to Brahmānda Purāna⁴ Sankha and Likhita were the sons of Jaigīsava and Ekaparnā.

1. वसिष्ठं कहू चैरेव राष्ट्र लिस्तितोन्तं च वाजसने यिभिः। तन्द्रवासिक १.174. 2. हाङ्कलिखितधर्मसूत्रं द्यकूत्रजुर्बद्रजतशास्वानुसारि।

Pathak, Sridharshastri. Lectures on Dharmasastra, P-22.

3. कृते तु मानवा धर्मास्त्रेतायां जीतमा मताः। द्वापरे राष्ट्रवलिस्तितीः कली पारायागः रुमृताः॥

Parásara Smrti.I.24. 4. Brahmända Purána, 310-21. Quotei by Prácina caritra-Kosa, P. 787.

In Mahābhārata (Critical Edition) Santi parva, Chap.24 contains the story of the two brothers Sankha and Likhita . The purpose of these verses that describe an incident that happended in the Asrama of Sankha is to elucidate the duties of a King (Rāja -Dharma).

To the question of Yudhisthira regarding Karma, Vyāsa quotes the event of these two brothers Śańkha and Likhita stayed in their Āśramas and performed penance. Both of them had beautiful gardens, where flowers and fruits were found in abundence. Likhita paid a visit to Śańkha's Āśrama during his absence. He plucked and ate a ripe fruit_xthere. Śańkha on his return saw this. He naturally questioned him " From where did you get these fruits ? ". Likhita told his elder brother as to how he got them. On \nota hearing this Śańkhacharged him for stealing fruits from his $\bar{k}s'/\!\!\!$ rama. He further asked him to go to the court of King for confession and punishment. Likhita did the same. Following Rājadharma the King ordered amputation of his arms. After undergoing the punishment Likhita returned to Śańkha. Now Śańkha asked him to go to Bāhudā¹ river to perform penance.

1. The Quot. No.429 of Reconstructed text reads Interest of S.L.Narrated in Mbh. refers to Bahuda- river. The episode of S.L.Narrated in Mbh. refers to Bahuda- river. If in above quotation, we interpret the word Bahuda as an adjective of the river Narmada, the authors would have stayed near Narmada. So Madhya pradesa might have been the probable native place of the Authors.

31

Kane P.V.(History of Dharma VOL.IV.P.737) gives information about the different opinions on the identification of the river Bahuda.He says " BAHUDA- (a river near Saraswati) Vayu purana 88.66 states that Yuvanasva cursed his wife Gauri who became Bahuda. Amarkosa gives Saitavahini as a symonyma of Bahuda and Ksirasvamin comments that it was broughts down by Kartavirya(who was called Bahuda, one who donated much)".

Puranic Enclylepaedia(1979-P-97) mentions Bahuda as a holy bath.It states that if one stays in this place for a night in celibacy and fast, one will get the fruits of performing a sacrifice to Devas(Gods) .The modern investigations say that this place is on the bank of the river Dhvata which flows near Avadhi. It is mentioned in Mbh. Santiparva Chapter 23 that the hermit Likhita had recovered his lost hand, by bathing in this holy place and giving oblations to his ancestors. Likhita was grafted by the river and he got his arms back. Thereafter he returned to Śańkha's Āshrama. From this story some inferences can be conjectured about two rsis Śańkha and Likhita.Śańkha was the elder brother of Likhita .Śańkha's insistance for hearing the fruits of the mistake that Likhita had committed reveal that Śańkha knew Dharmaśastra. To him every one whosoever he may be must be punished, if one disobeys the law.

33

The king addressed Likhita by Bhagavana Brahmarasi, Viprorsi, Brahmanarsabha. This shows what respect he commanded. The reference from Parasara smrti that Sankha-Likhita were authorities in Dwaparayuga seems to be correct. After studying the society they framed rules, which became prevalent in that period. The story depicted in the previous paragraph shows the trust and faith that Sankha had in the penence, and that was proved by Likhita's regaining his arms.

The content of the available reconstructed text of S.L. shows the deep study of vedas and other sastras of the authors. They have tried to formulate the best norms and set high values for an ideal society, giving much importance to Ahnika.Tarpana portion given elaborately by them is not foun in Manu and Yājñavalkya smṛtis.Ś.L.hardly differs with dharmasūtras of Gautama and Apastamba. Śraddha śuddhi etc. are'a lso well discussed. In Vyavahāra they describe in brief the duties of the King. But dāyabhāga is their important contribution. In the Prāyaścittādhyāya mahāpātakas,upapātakas and different vratas are treated well. These two sales of S.L.are depicted as Dustakarmas in Chapter 1) of Jaminiya Aśvamedha.Looking to the vast striking differences in the characteristics of these two sages with regard to the earlier referred ones, it may be assumed that Sankha and Likhita of J.A.were different from Sankha and Likhita of the Mahābhārata.