LIST OF TABLE

SR. NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
1	Some common shapes of diamond	31-33
2	Overview of the scales with reliability value	72
3	Categorization of the degree of risk based on nordic body map scores	76
4	Categorization of the level of MSD risk based on RULA range scores	77
5	Relational statistics applied to test the hypotheses	79
6	Distribution of the respondents according to their age	82
7	Distribution of the respondents according to their gender	83
8	Distribution of the respondents according to their marital status	84
9	Distribution of the respondents according to their education level	84
10	Distribution of the respondents according to their location of stay	85
11	Distribution of the respondents according to type of house	86
12	Distribution of the respondents according to their migration history	87
13	Distribution of the respondents according to reason for migration	88
14	Distribution of the respondents according to their residing status	88
15	Distribution of the respondents according to their family type	89
16	Distribution of the respondents according to number of family members	90
17	Distribution of the respondents according to number of earning family members	91
18	Distribution of the respondents according to employment status of family members in the diamond polishing industry	91
19	Distribution of the respondents according to their work experience	92
20	Distribution of the respondents according to number of years in the current workplace	93
21	Distribution of the respondents according to source of getting present job	94
22	Distribution of the respondents according to work type	95

23	Distribution of the respondents according to their chronic problems	96
24	Distribution of the respondents according to their respiratory problems	98
25	Distribution of the respondents according to their musculoskeletal problems	99
26	Distribution of the respondents according to other physiological problems	101
27	Distribution of the respondents according to problems related to social factors at work	104
28	Distribution of the respondents according to problems related to work organisation	107
29	Distribution of the respondents according to problems related to work environment	109
30	Distribution of the respondents according to the degree of musculoskeletal pain experienced by them in different locations of their body	111-113
31	Comparative Table of weighted mean score (out of 4) of the Musculoskeletal Pain experienced by the respondents while doing the different diamond polishing activities	115-116
32	Distribution of Respondents according to the Level of Risk on the basis of the Nordic Body Map	118
33	Distribution of respondents according to the level of risk on the basis of RULA assessment	119
34	Percentage distribution of the diamond polishing units according to average indoor temperature	126
35	Percentage distribution of the diamond polishing units according to average indoor humidity levels	127
36	Percentage distribution of the diamond polishing units according to average indoor lighting levels	128
37	Average noise level inside the diamond polishing units	128
38	Coefficient of correlation showing the relationship between physiological problems and psychosocial Problems	129
39	Coefficient of correlation showing the relationship between physiological problems and perceived musculoskeletal pain	130

40	Kruskal Wallis H Test showing difference in the physiological problems experienced by the respondents with age, gender, marital status, education level, family type, number of family members, work experience and work type	131
41	Dunn's Multiple Comparison Test showing the difference in physiological problems experienced by comparison groups for age, education level, number of family members, work experience and work type	132-133
42	Kruskal Wallis H Test showing difference in the psychosocial problems experienced by the respondents with age, gender, marital status, education level, family type, number of family members, work experience and work type	134
43	Dunn's Multiple Comparison Test showing the difference in psychosocial problems experienced by comparison groups for work type	135