

List of Figures

Chapter 1

Figure 1.1 Proportion of global forest area by main climatic domain (Source: FAO, 2020).....	3
Figure 1.2 Different forest monitoring approaches: Top-down uses satellite and aircraft data; bottom-up involves ground-based observations; integrated combines in-situ sensors, satellites, and drones (Source: Tabor & Connell, 2019; Tian et al., 2023).....	7
Figure 1.3 (a) Multispectral imagery with limited bands, comprising blue (Band 1), green (Band 2), red (Band 3), near-infrared (Band 4), and short-wave infrared (Band 5), and (b) Hyperspectral imagery with numerous narrow bands (Source: Adão et al., 2017).....	10
Figure 1.4 Hyperspectral remote sensing of the Earth's surface, with each pixel containing a continuous spectrum for material identification (Source: Liao, 2012)....	11
Figure 1.5 Spectra of different species across the entire spectral region (Authors own work).....	17

Chapter 2

Figure 2.1 A. Location of three protected areas (PAs) in India. B. False color composite image of each PA (862.28 nm; red, 651.92 nm; green, 551.74 nm; blue) obtained from AVIRIS-NG datasets, with the yellow box on image indicating the region of interest generated as a subset based on field survey. (a) Shoolpaneshwar Wildlife Sanctuary (SWS), (b) Vansda National Park (VNP), and (c) Mudumalai Tiger Reserve (MTR)...	21
Figure 2.2 Field photographs depicting landscape characteristics of SWS.....	24
Figure 2.3 Field photographs depicting landscape characteristics of VNP.....	25
Figure 2.4 Field photographs depicting landscape characteristics of MTR.....	27
Figure 2.5 Flowchart of the carried-out methodology.....	28
Figure 2.6 Sampled quadrats (yellow) and polygons (blue) generated during the field study.....	30
Figure 2.7 Photographs showing activities carried-out during field work.....	31
Figure 2.8 A point set and its convex hull.....	44

Chapter 3

Figure 3.1 Venn diagram showing the distribution of all recorded species (a) and abundant species (b) as common (at two/three PAs) and PA-specific species. Blue represents SWS, red represents VNP, and green represents MTR.....	53
Figure 3.2 Rank abundance curves of all recorded and abundant tree species at each PA.....	54
Figure 3.3 Mean spectra of abundant tree species in each PA, with a selection of spectral regions marked by vertical gray lines.....	56
Figure 3.4 Abundant species classification maps of three PAs generated using the Random Forest classifier, applied to processed subsets of AVIRIS-NG images (Complete names of species are given in Table 3.3) and its zoomed view. Black color indicates masked areas such as non-forest vegetation and urban areas.....	60
Figure 3.5 Abundant species classification maps of three PAs generated using the Support Vector Machine classifier, applied to processed subsets of AVIRIS-NG images (Complete names of species are given in Table 3.3) and its zoomed view. Black color indicates masked areas such as non-forest vegetation and urban areas.....	61
Figure 3.6 Classifier performance for each PA highlights changes in overall accuracy. The RF classifier exhibited a substantial enhancement across the three PAs.....	69
Figure 3.7 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for each species considered in RF classification, along with the corresponding area under the curve (AUC) of three PAs. The mean AUC value for each PA was 0.95 (SWS), 0.98 (VNP), and 0.98 (MTR).....	69
Figure 3.8 Progressive spread of PA-specific species across the three PAs obtained from RF abundant species maps.....	70
Figure 3.9 Distribution percentage of evergreen and deciduous species in three PAs obtained from the developed RF abundant species maps.....	70
Figure 3.10 Proportional distribution of seven common abundant species across the three PAs based on the RF abundant species maps.....	71
Figure 3.11 CHVs of 0.5 ha plots (n = 2) selected in abundant species maps of three PAs with varying numbers of abundant species. Panels (a) and (b) represent low and high diversity plots, respectively, while panel (c) displays the regression line depicting the cumulative CHVs and the number of abundant species. CHV values are as follows: a = 1.13 (n = 5), and b = 4.85 (n = 21) for SWS, a = 3.43 (n = 5), and b = 5.42 (n = 21) for VNP, and a = 2.68 (n = 5), b = 6.35 (n = 18) for MTR.....	72

Figure 3.12| Diversity-area curves for three PAs. (a) Spectral diversity-area curves for summed variance of 175 plots, (b) Species diversity-area curves, and (c) Cumulative CHVs and area curves.....73

Figure 3.13| Heatmap depicting Bray-Curtis dissimilarity graphs for all recorded species. The mean dissimilarity values for species abundance data (a) and spectral diversity represented as CHVs (b) are as follows: 0.70 and 0.81 for SWS, 0.63 and 0.72 for VNP, and 0.85 and 0.83 for MTR, respectively. Bray–Curtis dissimilarity ranges from 0 to 1, reflecting 100% similarity (0) to complete dissimilarity (1) between species in a pair of plots.....75

Figure 3.14| Heatmap depicting Bray-Curtis dissimilarity graphs for abundant species. The mean dissimilarity values for species abundance data (a) and spectral diversity represented as CHVs (b) are as follows: 0.65 and 0.82 for SWS, 0.56 and 0.72 for VNP, and 0.81 and 0.83 for MTR, respectively. Bray–Curtis dissimilarity ranges from 0 to 1, reflecting 100% similarity (0) to complete dissimilarity (1) between species in a pair of plots75

Figure 3.15| Intra- and inter-species spectral variability represented by CHVs at three PAs.....77