

List of Figures

1.1	Evolution of the generations of nuclear reactors throughout history and predictions for future [6].	3
1.2	Schematic presentation of the nuclear processes in the Universe on the chart of nuclides [30].	5
1.3	A diagram represents the basic principle of NAA.	9
1.4	A sketch of the reaction flows in the vicinity of $^{113,115}\text{In}$ taken from Ref. [62]. Contributions from the corresponding s, r and p processes are shown. Our work is focused on proton induced reaction channels of $^{112,114}\text{Cd}$	14
2.1	A collabrotive Pelletron facility of BARC-TIFR.	24
2.2	Pictorial view of 6M irradiation port.	25
2.3	Schematic representation of HPGe detector.	27
2.4	The linear attenuation coefficient of Ge and its basic parts [13].	28
2.5	The γ -ray spectrum obtained from a calibrated ^{152}Eu reference source.	30
2.6	Measured efficiency for the HPGe detector.	31
3.1	A flowchart different reaction mechanism [1].	36
3.2	A flowchart of the nuclear models of TALYS.	38

3.3	A Flow-chart explains the core physics of EMPIRE [34].	46
4.1	A layout of experimental arrangement [24].	59
4.2	Efficiency vs. Energy curve of HPGe detector for ^{152}Eu multi γ point source used in the experiment.	61
4.3	Conventionally recorded γ ray spectra using HPGe detector for (a) Au, (b) In, (c) Th, and (d) U samples, respectively.	63
4.4	(a)-(d) The neutron flux spectra obtained using $^7\text{Li}(p,n)$ reaction for (a) 18.8, (b) 15.0, (c) 11.0, and (d) 5.0 MeV of proton energies.	65
4.5	Neutron flux correction for $^{197}\text{Au}(n, 2n)^{196}\text{Au}$ reaction having 8.114 MeV of threshold energy labelled by A and maximum neutron energy labelled by B	67
4.6	Experimentally measured activation cross section data of $^{197}\text{Au}(n, 2n)^{196}\text{Au}$ reaction compared with existing data of different libraries and predicted data of TALYS (v. 1.9).	70
4.7	Experimentally measured activation cross sections of $^{115}\text{In}(n, n')^{115m}\text{In}$ reaction compared with the EXFOR data and data obtained from TALYS (v. 1.9).	71
4.8	Experimentally measured activation cross section data of $^{232}\text{Th}(n, f)^{97}\text{Zr}$ reaction compared with existing data of different libraries and predicted data of TALYS.	72
4.9	Experimentally measured activation cross section data of $^{238}\text{U}(n, f)^{97}\text{Zr}$ reaction compared with existing data of different libraries and predicted data of TALYS.	73
5.1	Arrangement of the stacked foil experiment [47].	84
5.2	Proton energy degradation using MCNP 6.2 code. [50].	85

5.3	Gamma ray spectra typically obtained from the interaction of proton-Cadmium at (a) $E_p \approx 16$ MeV, (b) 7.8 MeV & (c) 16 MeV of proton energies, respectively.	86
5.4	The Coulomb penetration probability folded with the Maxwell-Boltzmann velocity distribution forms the so-called Gamow peak.	91
5.5	Upper panel: Comparison of the cross sections for the $^{114}\text{Cd}(p, \gamma)^{115m}\text{In}$ reaction with three different HF calculations using the TALYS-1, TALYS-2, and TALYS-3 combinations (see §5.4.1 for details) and with the data retrieved from the literature [43]. Lower panel: The corresponding astrophysical S factors.	96
5.6	Upper panel: Comparison of the cross sections for the $^{114}\text{Cd}(p, n)^{114m}\text{In}$ reaction with three different HF calculations using the TALYS-1, TALYS-2, and TALYS -3 combinations (see §5.4.1 for details) and with the data retrieved from the literature [43]. Lower panel: The corresponding astrophysical S factors.	99
5.7	Upper panel: Comparison of the cross sections for the $^{112}\text{Cd}(p, \gamma)^{113m}\text{In}$ reaction with three different HF calculations using the TALYS-1, TALYS-2, and TALYS -3 combinations (see §5.4.1 for details) and with the data retrieved from the literature [43], and TENDL data library. Lower panel: The corresponding astrophysical S factors.	100
5.8	Presently measured cross section comparison of (a) $^{110}\text{Cd}(p, n)^{110g}\text{In}$ reaction with phenomenological models, (b) $^{110}\text{Cd}(p, n)^{110g}\text{In}$ reaction with microscopic models of TALYS [1], EMPIRE [17] and ALICE [38]. The theoretical predictions of (c) $^{110}\text{Cd}(p, n)^{110m}\text{In}$, and (d) $^{110}\text{Cd}(p, n)^{110}\text{In}$ reactions using different NLDs of TALYS, EMPIRE, and default NLD option of ALICE, and with the EXFOR database [43]. . .	103
5.9	The cross section ratio of the $^{110}\text{Cd}(p, n)^{110g}\text{In}$ (σ_g) to $^{110}\text{Cd}(p, n)^{110m}\text{In}$ (σ_m) reaction for the previously measured experimental data with the theoretical predictions based on the TALYS, and EMPIRE code. . . .	104

5.10	Presently measured cross section comparison of (a) $^{110}\text{Cd}(p, 2n)^{109}\text{In}$ reaction with phenomenological models, (b) $^{110}\text{Cd}(p, 2n)^{109}\text{In}$ reaction with microscopic models of TALYS, EMPIRE, ALICE and with EXFOR data. The MENDL-2 and TENDL-2019 data libraries are also included.	106
6.1	Comparison of theoretical predictions with EXFOR data, and the result of Kavun's empirical formula [19] for $^{112}\text{Cd}(d, 3n)^{111}\text{In}$ reaction. .	118
6.2	Comparison of theoretical predictions with EXFOR data, and the result of Kavun's empirical formula [19] for $^{141}\text{Pr}(d, 3n)^{140}\text{Nd}$ reaction.	119
6.3	Comparison of theoretical predictions with EXFOR data, and the result of Kavun's empirical formula [19] for $^{167}\text{Er}(d, 3n)^{166}\text{Tm}$ reaction.	121
6.4	Comparison of theoretical predictions with EXFOR data, and the result of Kavun's empirical formula [19] for $^{197}\text{Au}(d, 3n)^{196}\text{Hg}$ reaction.	122
6.5	Comparison of theoretical predictions with EXFOR data, and the result of Kavun's empirical formula [19] for $^{209}\text{Bi}(d, 3n)^{208}\text{Po}$ reaction. .	123