## -: PREFACE:

Naisadhiyacaritam is an abstruse poem and as such it is a challenge to the commentators to exibit theif scholarship. (Cp. Aug (Cp.). So far the commentator Narayana alone was considered as the one who tried to crack the hard nut in this field. Now he will be second commentator, because Candupandita (CP's) commentary excels other ammentaries.

The two earliest commentaries, on NC are written in Gujarat, (1) Sahityavidyadhari, or in short Vidyadhari by Vidyadhara (4V) and (2) Naisadhadipika by CP.

While V, primarily, brings out the literary beauties in his simple style, making the poem a hand book for the begininers, CP's main purpose is to bring out the pedantic aspect of the poem with the full equipment of the tradional sanskrit learning, And hence he has done the proper justice to the unparabled scholarship of Sriharsa.

The present thesis is an attempt to edit the first half (Purvardha i.e. cantos 1.17 only) of this learned and most important commentary, unpublished so far. The introduction, however, takes complete commentary into consideration.

There were 4 mss available to me. (1) Baroda MS.

(= B.) from Gujarati Department, Faculty of Artsm M.SUniversity, of Baroda, Baroda (2)(3)(4) /P P<sub>1</sub> and P<sub>2</sub> from Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona (out of which, P commences from canto XII and hence it is ignored at the present stage, because it does not fall under pursellen of my study). The Ms. B being local and easily available, I have accepted it vulgate text and P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub> are collated with it. After scrutinizing, I have come to the conclusion that though P<sub>1</sub> and P<sub>2</sub> come from the same family.

 $P_1$  is a miscodex and  $P_2$  is better and gramatically correct,

I have followed following method in preparing the text
(1) The thesis consists of two parts, Part I introduction and
part II the text.

- (2) Under each verse separate numbers are given in the foot notes to indicate variant readings, additions, omissions and em@ndations.
- (3) The variant readings are given below each page ( or versu.)
- (4) The words of the original verse in the text are underlined to differentiate them from the explanations given in the commentary.
- (5) The brackets used by me are as follows:-
- [ ] For addition (from P<sub>1</sub> P<sub>2</sub>)
- ( ) For correction and omission, or words
- (6) Whenever, B has dropped any portion of the com. I have supplied it ffrom either P<sub>1</sub> P<sub>2</sub> or from the original verse.
- (7) There are a few cases where the readings would not be listed on one page. In such cases, the remark contd. is given on both the pages. Wherever the commentary of a new verse, starts on the same page, I have drawn a horizonted line to separate the verse from the succeeding one.

For chapters- 4 and 5 the introduction I have consulted the critical text of the commentary of V. prepared by Dr. A.N.Jani, Director, Oriental Institute M.S.Uni. Baroda who is working of the project of preparing a critical adm. of Vidya-dhari.

In this connection to thank him will be asthane because he is my guide (and father). I am fortunate that I had the opportunity to work for my Ph.B., the acute guidance of Dr. A.N.Jani, Ex-Head of the Dept. of Skt.), Director Driental Institute, Baroda.

I express my gratitude to Prof. George Cardona and # Shri K.J.Trivedi (my guru) for their valuable suggestions. The #

I thank the Gujarati Dept. for lending me the Ms. and the authorities of B.O.R.I.Poona to extend the period of lending the Mss, I specially thank Dr. V.G.Rahurkar, in valivus ways Chrator, B.O.R.I., Poona for his help help and personal interest in my work.

I sincerely thank shri C.M.Joshi, who, inspite of domestic difficulties, typed the introductory chapters and appendices with personal care.

I thank all those who have given their precions time to discuss some of the problems of the thesis.

Lastly, I mostly thank my wife Latika for her help in preparing fair copies of my introductory chapters.

mile .