PREFACE

Vikramorvasīyam is the second play of Kālidāsa which commands a good number of ancient and modern commentaries. The plot of this play is derived from the well-known popular tale of the love-affair of Urvasī and Pururavas which dates back from the Rgveda. We find some beautiful romantic dialogues in the Rgveda (X.95). We also find this very romance of Pururavas and Urvasi narrated with some variation in details in Satapatha Brāhmaņa (V.1-2), in Brhaddevatā (VII. 140-7), Saunaka's Sarvānukramanī, Harivamsa (X.26), Visnupurāna (IV.6), Bhāgavatapurāna (IX.14), Matsyapurāna (XX.14), Kathāsaritsāgara (III.3) etc. The play is some times called Trotaka, because it contains the love-affair between a human and a celestial person and the Vidusaka is present in majority of Acts. Again the fourth Act of the play is unique in its great emotional and melodramatic poetic qualities. That is why, there is also an extended version of this Act with 31 extra musical Prakrit verses. Therefore, the play is very interesting for us as well as for some commentators who try to explain highlight these peculiar characteristics of the play so unique in the whole range of Sanskrit literature. The romanticism of the play has a peculiar shade because the heroine is a celestial nymph. Outwardly she has supernatural power of creating tiraskarini charm to make herself invisible, to create birch-barch at will, to conceal the signs of pregnancy, to create an aeroplane of clouds etc. But inwardly she has a deep womanly love which ties the hero Pururavas to her life-long.

ii

The main feature of the present study is to make a study of the commentaries on *Vikramorvasīyam*. I have tried to find all the commentaries but all the ancient commentaries are not available because of ravages of time. I have tried to secure as many ancient commentaries as possible even by personally going to the various libraries and MSS-collections or by writing to them. I have gone myself to the following Libraries: Government Oriental Manuscripts Library & Adyar Library and Research Centre, Chennai; Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Deccan College Library and Jaikar Central Iibrary, Pune; Calcutta University library and Benaras Hindu University Library. But unfortunately no other ancient commentaries than the printed three could be found. I hope that my present study fulfils a long-standing need in the Kālidāsa studies since no consistent study of all the available commentaries on *Vikramorvasīyam* has been made so for. I also hope that it will prepare ground for further detailed and comparative studies of individual commentators studie here rather briefly.

iii

• -

۰.

٠.