CHAPTER III.

INTERPOLATIONS.

It is well-known that a critical study presupposes a critical text. Our poem, Sisu., is not known to be critically edited till now. Like many other Sanskrit works, it is not free from spurious matter.

There is one question which requires the serious attention of scholars in this connection. There is no agreement between the two great commentators, Vallabhadeva and Mallinatha, of this poem regarding the doubtful verses contained in it. What is doubtful or spurious according to the commentator Vallabhadeva is not so according to the other commentator Mallinatha and vice versa.

According to Vallabhadeva, there are twenty nine doubtful or spurious verses inserted in the poem, while according to Mallinatha, such cases are forty one. Thus, there are only two common verses out of seventy such verses.

The following are twenty nine verses on which Vallabhadeva does not comment.

> 1. Cente IX - अनकाशमाश्र हृद्ये मुहरां ग्रिमेन विकासपृद्या-छ हिर्नः । कुमुदे च पुट्पधनुषे धनुष्यनितः ग्रिकीमुख्नगणेऽलभते॥

(We give this verse fully here as it is neither printed in NSP edition with the comm. of Mallinatha nor in the text with Vallabhadeva's comm. But it

is inserted in between Nos. 40 and 41 of Canto IX by Sri Rāmapratāpa Tripāthi in his Hindi translation of the text.)

- 2. Canto XV Twenty five continuous verses after the 13th verse of the canto.
- 3. Canto XV Verse No.39 (इति नानमुद्दत- ...मु≒रके:॥)
- 4. Canto XV Verse No.45 (अथ----- जा निर्वापुतः॥)
- 5. Canto XV Verse No.64 (3747184794----- 374:11)

The following are forty one verses on which Mallinatha does not comment.

- 1. Canto IX अन्यकार्यमार्थ ----- अत्वभत ॥
- 2. Canto XV The following verse which is given as No.25 immediately after the verse उत्थन ---- समानीयसे।। लहुमी रणेषु रथनकृमधितपरिमणुळं त्यथा | साधु तिर्दमथहाः शक्ळं करणे धृतं नु थुदु-नकृसम्परा॥

(This is fully quoted here because it is found only in the poem edited in the Kasi Sanskrit Series).

^{1.} Sisu. p.239 (Hindi Trans.).

· Conse

- 3. Canto XV Thirty four continuous verses after the 38th verse of the canto.
- 4. ----- The concluding five verses giving the biographical details of the poet.

All these forty one verses except the first two are commented upon by Vallabhadeva.

Such doubtful cases become definite cases of interpolations mainly on the following grounds.

- 1. If they are not found in the oldest Mss.
- 2. If they are not found in the oldest comms.
- 3. If their spurious character is suggested or pointed out by a commentator.
- 4. If they are commented by one commentator and not by another.
- 1. As regards the first criterion, we examined four old Mss. available to us two belonging to the Oriental Institute, Baroda and two to the BORI, Poona.

The O.I., Baroda, possesses several Mss. of the bare text of the poem out of which the following two were examined by us. One of these is the oldest and the other is next from the point of view of age.

(a) The Ms. bearing the accession No.752, dated Samvat 1496, extending from canto II to canto XX and written in Devanagari script is eldest.

(b) The next from the point of view of age is the Ms. bearing the accession No.974, dated Samvat 1506, complete in extent and written in Devanagari script.

This Ms. agrees with the former in all the cases under discussion.

- (c) We examined two Mss. belonging to BORI., Poona.

 The first one is dated Samvat 1488 and is described in Vol.XIII, pt.II and its number is 740.
- (d) The other is dated Samvat <u>1545</u> and is described in Vol.XIII, pt.II and its number is 739.

On examining these two Mss., we observe that they agree completely with the abovementioned Mss. of the O.I., Baroda, in all the cases referred to above.

Hence these four old Mss. available to us indicate that the text of the poem Sisu. with all the additions was in existence before the fifteenth century A.D. but they are not helpful in detecting interpolations.

- (2) As regards the second criterion, it is already pointed out above that the two old commentators, «viz., Vallabhadeva and Mallinatha hold different views regarding the doubtful verses contained in the poem. It is also possible that both these commentators received the text in different recensions or their subjective approach may have been different.
- (3) There are two points which deserve consideration regarding the third criterion. The commentator Dinakara, who has commented only on the thirty four verses of canto XV not commented by Mallinatha, observes as follows.

"पुते स्तुतिनिन्हा १ कोका: । क्षेपका इति केन्नित्। अग्रिमश्वोकः कतिपयः सह पुनरुक्तिषु पतनत्वात्।"

Moreover, he expressly points out that he wrote his comm on these verses because of the non-availability of the Mallinatha's com. on them. This evidence shows that Mallinatha considered these verses as spurious and therefore did not comment on them. But at the same time, this commenting on these verses by Dinakara does not prove that he considered them spurious. He does not say anything about Vallabhadeva's assumption regarding the doubtful character of certain verses.

Secondly, Kavivallabha Cakravarti omits the concluding verses of the poem in his com. called 'Sisubodhini'. It is possible that he considered them spurious. Thus he also supports Mallinatha's assumption regarding the five concluding verses of the poem.

^{1. 0.}I.,Acc. No.5458

^{2.} HSL., p. 157; IO, 635, 3222, 3223.

About the remaining doubtful cases, we believe that Mallinatha is right in judging them as spurious. Magha was a great devotee of Kṛṣṇa and considered Kṛṣṇa as the Highest Divinity. Moreover, Magha is in complete agreement with the Bhagavata Puraṇa in believing Kṛṣṇa as the perfect and complete incarnation of Godhood. His aim in writing the poem was to extol the greatness of his favourite deity and to sing and popularise devotion to Kṛṣṇa. If we keep these things in mind, it is difficult to believe that such a devotee like Magha would try to belittle his hero as is done in the thirty-four verses considered spurious by Mallinatha. We are of opinion that these verses are definite cases of interpolations.

The fact that what is not commented upon by Vallabhadeva is commented upon by Mallinatha and vice versa leads us to conjecture that there might be two recensions of the text of Siśu., viz; the northern recension and the southern one and it is not impossible that Vallabhadeva might have followed the former and Mallinatha the latter.

Even if we accept the twelfth century as the date of Vallabhadeva and the latter half of the fourteenth as the date of Mallinatha, it is clear from the abovementioned Mss. that the text of the poem Sisu. with all additions was in existence before the fifteenth century. It was about this time that the fusion of the text possibly took place.

We, however, leave the question open for competent scholars to decide with the help of the oldest Mss. of the poem in all the representative scripts of India.